

Report on Desk Study of Mine Subsidence Risk

Proposed Commercial Development 4B South Street, Bennetts Green

Prepared for SPG Investments Pty Ltd

Project 49710.03 March 2018



Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Document History

Document details

Project No.	49710.03	Document No.	R.001.Rev2
Document title	Report on Desk Study of Mine Subsidence Risk		
	Proposed Commercial	Development	
Site address	4B South Street, Benn	etts Green	
Report prepared for	SPG Investments Pty Ltd		
File name	49710.03.R.001.Rev2		

Document status and review

Status	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Date issued
Revision 0	Toby Cairnes / Scott McFarlane	Scott McFarlane	11 December 2017
Revision 1	Toby Cairnes	Scott McFarlane	15 December 2017
Revision 2	Toby Cairnes	Scott McFarlane	2 March 2018

Distribution of copies

Status	Electronic	Paper	Issued to
Revision 0	1	0	Matthew Skerrett, SPG Investments Pty Ltd
Revision 1	1	0	Matthew Skerrett, SPG Investments Pty Ltd
Revision 2	1	0	Matthew Skerrett, SPG Investments Pty Ltd

The undersigned, on behalf of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd, confirm that this document and all attached drawings, logs and test results have been checked and reviewed for errors, omissions and inaccuracies.

Signature	Date
Author	2 March 2018
Reviewer	2 March 2018



Douglas Partners Pty Ltd ABN 75 053 980 117 www.douglaspartners.com.au 15 Callistemon Close Warabrook NSW 2304 PO Box 324 Hunter Region Mail Centre NSW 2310 Phone (02) 4960 9600 Fax (02) 4960 9601



Table of Contents

Page

1.	Intro	duction		1
2.	Scop	oe of Wo	ork	1
3.	Data	Review	·	2
	3.1	Extent	of Mining	2
		3.1.1	General	2
		3.1.2	Record Trace – Victoria Tunnel Seam	2
		3.1.3	Record Trace – Dudley Seam	3
	3.2	Histori	ical Data	3
		3.2.1	Annual Reports, DIGs Database and LMCC	3
		3.2.2	Previous Study (Ref 5)	4
	3.3	Workir	ng Section and Seam Thickness	5
		3.3.1	Victoria Tunnel Seam	5
		3.3.2	Dudley Seam	7
4.	Anal	ysis of N	/ine Void Stability	8
	4.1	Pillar S	Stability	8
		4.1.1	General	8
		4.1.2	Victoria Tunnel Seam	8
		4.1.3	Dudley Seam	10
	4.2	Subsic	dence Prediction	16
		4.2.1	Actual Subsidence – Dudley Seam	16
		4.2.2	Subsidence Parameters – Dudley Seam	17
	4.3	Conclu	usion and Recommendations	17
5.	Refe	rences		18
6.	Limit	ations		18



Appendix A:	About This Report
Appendix B:	Table A – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel A – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table B – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel B – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table C – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel C – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table D – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel D – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table E – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel E – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table F – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel F – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table G – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel G – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table H – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel H – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m
	Table A1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel A – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table B1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel B – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table C1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel C – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table D1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel D – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table E1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel E – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table F1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel F – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table G1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel G – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table H1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel H – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m
	Table V – Pillar Stability Analysis, VT Seam – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section 2.39 m
	Table V1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, VT Seam - Measured Pillar Dimensions Working Section 2.39 m, Pillar Height = 3.03 m



Appendix C: Drawing 1 – Site Position Relative to Abandoned Workings, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Dudley Seam (RT 691)
Drawing 2 – Site Position Relative to Abandoned Workings, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Victoria Tunnel Seam (RT 403)
Drawing 3 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Working Section 1.7 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Dudley Seam Workings
Drawing 4 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Working Section 2.0 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Dudley Seam Workings
Drawing 5 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Pillar Height Section = 2.39 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, VT Seam Workings
Drawing 6 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Pillar Height Section = 3.03 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, VT Seam Workings

Overall Site Plan, Drawing No. ATP-200 (supplied by client)



Report on Desk Study of Mine Subsidence Risk Proposed Commercial Development 4B South Street, Bennetts Green

1. Introduction

This report presents the results of a desk study to assess the mine subsidence risk in connection with a proposed commercial development at 4B South Street, Bennetts Green. The investigation was commissioned by Matthew Skerrett of Blueprint Group Australia Pty Ltd acting on behalf of SPG Investments Pty Ltd.

The proposed development of the site is shown on the attached Overall Site Plan, Drawing No. ATP-200 dated 22 May 2017 (supplied by client) and will include:

- Lot 1 Bunnings Building;
- Lots 2 and 3 Bulky Goods and Commercial shops.

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) has previously undertaken a desk study of mine subsidence risk for Lot 1, in April 2012 and a revised assessment in December 2017 (Refs 1 and 2). This report presents additional analysis which encompasses Lots 1 to 3 (i.e. the full site of proposed development).

The proposed development site is located within the proclaimed Lake Macquarie Mine Subsidence District, and therefore the development is subject to the approval of Subsidence Advisory NSW (SA NSW, previously Mine Subsidence Board). It is anticipated that consultations with the SA NSW will be required in conjunction with the development approval process.

A desk study was undertaken to comment on the stability of the mine workings beneath the proposed development area for Lots 1 to 3 and also to provide comments on the likely surface subsidence parameters which would result from the 'worst credible case' future mine subsidence.

No subsurface investigation was undertaken for the mine subsidence assessment.

2. Scope of Work

The scope of work comprised:

- A review of the plans of the mine workings, which are documented on record traces (RTs) held by the NSW Department of Primary Industries Minerals (DPI Minerals);
- Review of the DPI Minerals' online data base "DIGS" for relevant information;
- Review of other historical documents and data from Douglas Partners files;
- Analysis of the stability of pillars under the site and within and immediately surrounding the "angle of draw" of the workings;
- Comment on the pillar stability and the likelihood of mine subsidence affecting the site; and
- Estimation of surface subsidence parameters for use in preliminary design of the development.



The "angle of draw" described above represents the horizontal extent at ground surface level beyond the limit of mine workings which would likely be affected by subsidence due to collapse of the workings. The angle of draw is typically 26° off upward vertical, so that the horizontal extent at ground level beyond the limit of mine workings is about one-half of the depth to the floor of the workings.

3. Data Review

3.1 Extent of Mining

3.1.1 General

Enquiries made with the then NSW Mine Subsidence Board (MSB) (now known as Subsidence Advisory NSW (SANSW)) as part of the initial assessment (Ref 1) indicate that the site has been undermined by abandoned coal mine workings in both the Dudley Seam and the Victoria Tunnel Seam. These seams were worked by the Lambton 'B' (previously, Durham) Colliery at depths beneath the development site of the order of 145 m to 175 m and 125 m to 135 m, respectively.

Scanned images of the RTs of these abandoned mines were obtained from the DPI – Minerals. The images were georeferenced to the modern surface cadastre provided by the Department of Lands. Drawing 1 and Drawing 2 in Appendix C show the approximate Lot boundaries relative to the workings in the Dudley Seam and the Victoria Tunnel Seam, respectively.

3.1.2 Record Trace – Victoria Tunnel Seam

The Victoria Tunnel (VT) Seam workings of the Lambton B Colliery are recorded on RT 403. Reference to the RT indicates that the workings are typically of the bord and pillar type. Dates on the RT indicate that mining near the site likely took place in the early 1960s.

RT 403 indicates that areas of first workings by bord and pillar methods in the VT Seam underlie Lots 2 and 3, but do not underlie Lot 1.

Workings in the VT Seam which are nearest to Lot 1 are of the order of 20 m to 30 m to the east through north-east.

The nearest areas of partial (first workings) and full pillar extraction are between 60 m and 140 m to the east of Lot 1. As indicated on Drawing 2, areas of pillar extraction do not encroach the angle of draw limit of the workings which would likely impact the footprint of Lots 1 to 3 in terms of mine subsidence.

The RT also indicates that a dyke, noted as some 5.9 m (19 feet, 6 inches) thick near the western extent of the workings, was encountered in an approximately south-east to north-west orientation. The RT shows no workings in the Victoria Tunnel Seam on the south-west side of this dyke.

Georeferencing of the Victoria Tunnel Seam workings relative to the surface cadastre and proposed development areas of the site indicates the following (refer to Drawing 2):



- No mine workings in the Victoria Tunnel Seam are present beneath Lot 1;
- Bord and pillar type first workings are present beneath the areas of proposed development on proposed Lots 2 and 3.

3.1.3 Record Trace – Dudley Seam

The Dudley Seam workings of the Lambton 'B' Colliery are recorded on RT 691. Reference to the RT indicates that the workings are typically bord and pillar type with secondary extraction by pillar removal in certain areas.

Drawing 1 in Appendix C relates the layout of the workings to the footprint of Lots 1 to 3.

Dates on the RT indicate that mining beneath the overall development site likely took place in the mid-1970s to early 1980s. Depths to the floor of the workings are of the order of 160 m to 172 m beneath Lot 1, and of the order of 155 m to 170 m across Lots 2 and 3.

The RT shows a dyke with a south-east to north-west orientation beneath the central portion of the development site. The dyke was also encountered in the overlying Victoria Tunnel Seam workings (refer Section 3.1.2 above).

Overlaying RT 691 (Dudley Seam) with RT 403 (Victoria Tunnel Seam) shows that the position and orientation of the dyke is the same in each seam. On this basis, the dyke was probably sub-vertical.

3.2 Historical Data

The historical data which was reviewed for the purpose of the desk study included:

- Annual reports of the Department of Mines of NSW obtained from the DPI Minerals' online DIGS database;
- Information on Lake Macquarie City Council's (LMCC) website; and
- Previous study of actual subsidence due to mining in the Dudley Seam by Lambton Colliery, including mining beneath the subject site (Ref 5).

3.2.1 Annual Reports, DIGs Database and LMCC

From this review, it is noted that the Scottish Australian Mining Company acquired a leasehold for the Durham Colliery at Redhead prior to 1876 during which year sinking of the first shaft was in progress. Work on the colliery was sporadic until 1888 when the Borehole Seam was reached.

In 1898 the name of the colliery was changed to Lambton No 2, known as Lambton 'B'. In 1932, the Lambton 'B' Colliery was purchased by the BHP Co Ltd.



There were two shafts at the pit top facilities at Redhead, one downcast and one upcast, and a surface drift working three seams:

- Victoria Tunnel Seam;
- Dudley Seam; and
- Borehole Seam.

A cross-measure drift provided for the transfer of mined coal from an interseam bin above the Dudley Seam workings up into the Victoria Tunnel Seam from where it was transferred to the pit top by means of the surface drift.

RT 691 shows that an upcast shaft (No 3 Shaft) was constructed in 1972 to a depth of about 169.5 m (556 feet) at a location approximately 1 km east of the proposed development site. The timing of its construction relative to the surrounding Dudley Seam workings indicates that the shaft was sunk for the purpose of ventilating the mine.

Workings within the Borehole Seam extend offshore from Redhead several kilometres. Workings within the Victoria Tunnel Seam and the Dudley Seam extend west to Gateshead and Windale. The northern extent of the mining lease adjoined the Dudley (previously South Burwood) Colliery, Burwood Colliery and Waratah Colliery holdings along an east-west boundary between Dudley Road and Warners Bay Road. The southern extent of the lease adjoined the John Darling Colliery holding.

The Lambton 'B' Colliery ceased mining operations in 1992.

3.2.2 Previous Study (Ref 5)

The study presented survey monitoring data to assess the actual surface subsidence due to mining within the Dudley Seam by Lambton Colliery.

The study notes that Lambton Colliery initially mined the Dudley Seam by first workings of bord and pillar methods, without pillar extraction. Experiences at the adjoining Burwood Colliery with the success of the 'panel and pillar' mining system, led to alternate mining layouts whereby panels of second workings (full pillar extraction) were mined, separated by relatively large, intact pillars. This technique was employed beneath the subject site, with the panel beneath Lot 1 denoted "6 NW", and the panel beneath Lots 2 and 3 denoted "4 NW" and "4NW Left" as indicated on Figure 1 below.

The study presents data in relation to panels "6 NW" and "4 NW" which is relevant to the current assessment. A summary of relevant information is presented below:

Panel "6 NW"

- Dates of extraction June 1980 to November 1981;
- Panel width 82 m;
- Panel Length 200 m;
- Average depth of cover approximately 170 m;
- Seam height 1.7 m;
- Height of pillars 1.7 m; and





• Maximum recorded subsidence post mining – approximately 180 mm (January 1982).

Panel "4 NW"

- Dates of extraction July 1978 to September 1979;
- Panel width 58 m;
- Panel Length 250 m;
- Average depth of cover approximately 165 m;
- Seam height 1.6 m to 1.8 m;
- Height of pillars 1.6 m; and
- Maximum recorded subsidence post mining approximately 60 mm (November 1983).

The mine subsidence survey monitoring points from Ref 5 relative to the approximate site location and underlying workings are presented in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1: Extract from Ref 5 showing mine subsidence survey points around "The Site" (red dashed line) together with the underlying workings relative to the site

3.3 Working Section and Seam Thickness

The following sections outline the information obtained for each seam.

3.3.1 Victoria Tunnel Seam

Table 1 below gives a summary of data available on the DPI – Minerals' online DIGS database.



Bore Identifier (Date)	Approximate Distance of Bore from Building Site	Thickness of VT Seam	Thickness of Working Section of VT Seam ⁽²⁾
BHP Lambton DDH 18 (1970)	Less than 100 m south	3.03 m (10')	1.6 m (5' 3 ")
Old Redhead DDH 2, ("Bennett's Bore", 1886)	Approx 250 m east	3.61 m (10' 10")	Not indicated 2.1 m ? (7') ?
BHP Windale DDH 3 (1957)	Approx. 100 m west	1.62 m (5' 3¾")	Not indicated 1.6 m ?
BHP Windale DDH 4 (1960)	Approx 500 m south-west	1.45 m (4' 9")	Not indicated
South Redhead DDH 1 (1889)	Approx 900 m south-east	1.47 m (4' 10")	Not indicated
Durham Colliery No 1 Pit DDH (1888)	Approx 2.1 km east	4.13 m (13' 6½")	Not indicated 1.73 m ? (5' 8") ?

Table 1: Summary of Available Colliery Bore Data for the Victoria Tunnel Seam ⁽¹⁾

Notes to Table 1:

(1) Source: DIGS online database

(2) The working section of the Victoria Tunnel Seam is equivalent to the height of the mine roof above the base of the seam

Figure 2 below is an archival image of workings in the Victoria Tunnel Seam at Burwood Colliery, which borders Lambton 'B' Colliery to the north. The photograph gives a depiction of working section height in the Victoria Tunnel Seam at Burwood Colliery. Based on the height of the men in the photograph, the height of this working section was probably of the order of 1.8 m.



Figure 2: Workings in Victoria Tunnel Seam, Burwood Colliery (source: LMCC online archives)

A section is included on RT 403 (sheet 11) which shows the thickness of the Victoria Tunnel Seam as 2.50 m (8 feet, $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches) at the downcast shaft of Lambton 'B' Pit. Sheet 1 of RT 403 shows the working section as 1.75 m (5 feet, 9 inches).

Data from Ref 1 indicated overall thicknesses and inferred working sections for the following collieries in the Victoria Tunnel Seam:

- John Darling Colliery;
 - Overall seam thickness 2.16 m (85 inches);
 - Working roof 2.01 m (79 inches) above base of seam.
- Lambton ('B') Colliery;
 - Overall seam thickness 2.90 m (114 inches);
 - Working roof 2.39 m (94 inches) above base of seam.
- Burwood Colliery;
 - o Overall seam thickness 2.79 m (109³/₄ inches); and
 - o Working roof 2.19 m (86¼ inches) above base of seam.

The sampling locations for these data were not provided in Ref 1.

Based on the above, the seam thickness and working section which has been adopted for analysis of the VT Seam underlying the site is 3.03 m and 2.39 m, respectively.

3.3.2 Dudley Seam

A section is included on RT 691 which shows the thickness of the Dudley Seam as some 2.3 m (7 feet, 8 inches) at an undisclosed location. The section also shows the working floor as some 1.8 m (6 feet) below the roof of the seam at this location.

From other information on the RT, it is probable that the section was made at the downcast shaft of Lambton 'B' Pit.

In addition, data from a 1963 CSIRO report titled "Petrographic Data on some New South Wales Coals" by G H Taylor (Ref 2) indicate an overall thickness of 1.93 m (76 inches) for the Dudley Seam in workings of the Burwood Colliery, which borders the northern extent of the Lambton 'B' Colliery lease. The sampling locations for these data were not provided in Ref 2.

Table 2 below gives a summary of data available on the DPI – Minerals' online DIGS database.



Bore Identifier (Date)	Approximate Distance of Bore from Building Site	Thickness of Dudley Seam	Thickness of Working Section of Dudley Seam ⁽²⁾
BHP Lambton DDH 18 (1970)	Less than 100 m south	3.05 m (10')	1.6 m (5' 3 ")
Old Redhead DDH 2, ("Bennett's Bore", 1886)	Approx 250 m east	3.61 m (10' 10")	Not indicated 2.1 m ? (7') ?
BHP Windale DDH 3 (1957)	Approx. 100 m west	1.62 m (5' 3¾")	Not indicated 1.6 m ?
BHP Windale DDH 4 (1960)	Approx 500 m south-west	1.45 m (4' 9")	Not indicated
BHP Windale DDH 2 (1960)	Approx 800 m west	1.24 m (4' 1")	Not indicated
South Redhead DDH 1 (1889)	Approx 900 m south-east	1.47 m (4' 10")	Not indicated
BHP Windale DDH 1 (1960)	Approx 1.3 km north	1.42 m (4' 8")	Not indicated
Durham Colliery No 1 Pit DDH (1888)	Approx 2.1 km east	2.16 m (7' 1")	Not indicated 1.73 m ? (5' 8") ?
BHP Burwood Dudley DDH 4 (1964)	Approx 4 km north-east	2.30 m (7' 6½")	Not indicated 1.92 m (6' 3½")

Table 2: Summary of Available Colliery Bore Data for the Dudley Seam ⁽¹⁾

Notes to Table 2:

(1) Source: DIGS online database

(2) Thickness of the working section of the Dudley Seam is the depth of the working floor below the top of the seam

In addition to the above data, a previous study of subsidence due to Dudley Seam mining by Lambton Colliery (Ref 5) as summarised in Section 3.2.2 presents data relating to the "6 NW" mining panel, which is shown to underlie the subject site. The study reports that in the "6 NW" panel, the Dudley Seam height was 1.7 m, and the height of pillars was 1.7 m, indicating that the full seam was mined.

4. Analysis of Mine Void Stability

4.1 Pillar Stability

4.1.1 General

The analysis of pillar stability beneath and surrounding the footprint of Lots 1 to 3 was undertaken using the UNSW Pillar Stability Formula (Ref 4) to estimate a factor of safety (FoS) for each coal pillar of interest in a representative panel of mine voids beneath the proposed development area.

4.1.2 Victoria Tunnel Seam

The pillar stability analysis for the VT Seam workings was undertaken for 108 pillars within and adjacent to the projected angle of draw relative to the site boundary of Lots 1 to 3.



Based on Douglas Partners' review of RT 403 and the available historical data, it is considered that Lot 1 would be almost entirely outside the angle of draw of the Victoria Tunnel Seam workings to the east and north and mining within this seam would not likely affect the proposed development area of Lot 1.

The pillars which were indexed for use in the analysis are shown on Drawing 2 in Appendix C. All pillar dimensions were measured from RT 403.

Based on a review of the available data as described above, a seam thickness of 3.03 m and working section of 2.39 m has been adopted for the analysis of pillar stability in the VT Seam workings beneath the site. It is considered that this represents a reasonable estimate of the seam thickness and working section beneath the site.

Given that the data review indicated the lower portion of the VT Seam was mined by Lambton 'B' Colliery, a sensitivity analysis was undertaken whereby the pillar height section was increased to the full seam thickness, to simulate 'top coal' having fallen from the roof post-mining (i.e. 'loss of top').

The results of these analyses are given as Tables V and V1 attached to the report in Appendix B, and are presented graphically in Drawings 3 and 4, Appendix C.

A summary is given in Table 1 below.

Table No:	V	V1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	3.03	3.03
Pillar Height Section (m)	2.39	3.03
Working Section (m)	2.39	2.39
Pillar Dimensions	RT403	RT403
Min. W:H Ratio	2.3	1.8
Max W:H Ratio	24.1	19.0
Max FoS	50.08	27.96
Min FoS	1.16	0.95
Panel FoS	8.55 (4.35 if Pillar 45 is not considered)	5.4 (3.1 if Pillar 45 is not considered)

Table 3: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – VT Seam

Notes to Table 3: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)

From Table 1 above, and the attached analysis, the following is noted:

- For the scenario whereby the pillar height section is equal to the working section of 2.39 m:
 - o All but six of the 108 pillars analysed have factors of safety (FoS) of greater than 2.1, indicating that they are likely to be long term stable;

- o Of the six pillars with FoS<2.1, only one of these pillars (Pillar 13), has a factor of safety of less than 1.6, indicating a probability of failure of greater than 1 in 1000 of this individual pillar;
- o The overall panel factor of safety of the VT Seam pillars subject to analysis is 8.55, or 4.35 if the influence of the very large pillar, Pillar 45, is ignored. This indicates that the panel of workings is long term stable overall, with a probability of failure of less than 1 in 1,000,000.
- For the scenario whereby the pillar height section is equal to the full seam thickness of 3.03 m:
 - o 18 of the 108 pillars analysed have FOS<2.1, and five of these pillar have FoS<1.6;
 - o Pillar 13 has a factor of safety of 0.95 m under this scenario, indicating that it would be likely to have crushed;
 - o The overall panel factor of safety is 5.4, or 3.1 if the influence of Pillar 45 is ignored. This indicates that the panel of workings would be long term stable overall, with the load from any localised failed pillars able to be readily accommodated by adjacent, larger pillars within the panel.

Based on the above, the workings in the VT Seam are considered long term stable and therefore subsidence associated with pillar failure in the VT Seam has not been further assessed.

4.1.3 Dudley Seam

The pillar stability analysis for the Dudley Seam workings was undertaken for a total of 192 pillars within, and adjacent to, the projected angle of draw relative to the boundaries of Lots 1 to 3. The pillars which were indexed for use in the analysis are shown on Drawing 1 in Appendix C. All pillar dimensions were measured from RT 691.

Due to mapped second workings (full pillar extraction) beneath the site, resulting abutment loads were applied to selected pillars.

Based on a review of the available data as described above, a seam thickness of 1.7 m and working section of 1.7 m has been assumed for the analysis of pillar stability in the Dudley Seam workings beneath the site. It is considered that this represents a reasonable estimate of the seam thickness and working section beneath the site, and is consistent with the data presented in Ref 5.

The sensitivity of pillar stability to working section height was also assessed. The sensitivity analysis used a seam thickness of 2.0 m, which is the largest seam thickness reported in the Ref 5 study in the vicinity of the site. The working section was again taken as equal to the full seam thickness for the sensitivity analysis. It is considered this would likely represent an over-estimate of the seam thickness and working section beneath the site.

For the purpose of analysis, the pillars subject to assessment were separated into eight panels, denoted Panels A to H, as shown in Drawing 1, Appendix C.

The results of these analyses are given as Tables A to H and A1 to H1 attached to the report in Appendix B, and are presented graphically in Drawings 5 and 6, Appendix C.

A summary is given in Tables 4 to 11 below.



Table No:	Α	A1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	9.7	8.3
Max W:H Ratio	17.6	15.0
Max FoS	5.2	3.56
Min FoS	1.78	1.35
Panel FoS	4.10	2.85

Table 4: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel A

Notes to Table 4: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)

Table 5: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel B

Table No:	В	B1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	9.7	8.3
Max W:H Ratio	11.2	9.5
Max FoS	2.41	1.77
Min FoS	1.11	0.84
Panel FoS	1.71	1.28

Notes to Table 5: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)



Table No:	С	C1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	4.1	3.5
Max W:H Ratio	28.2	24.0
Max FoS	30.9	20.54
Min FoS	0.79	0.66
Panel FoS	10.85 (3.55 if Pillar H is not considered)	7.42 (2.65 if Pillar H is not considered)

Table 6: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel C

Notes to Table 6: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)

Table 7: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel D

Table No:	D	D1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	4.1	3.5
Max W:H Ratio	12.4	10.6
Max FoS	7.65	5.65
Min FoS	1.02	0.86
Panel FoS	4.53	3.41

Notes to Table 7: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)



Table No:	E	E1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	10.3	8.8
Max W:H Ratio	12.5	10.6
Max FoS	3.49	2.6
Min FoS	1.30	0.97
Panel FoS	2.38	1.73

Table 8: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel E

Notes to Table 8: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)

Table 9: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel F

Table No:	F	F1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	9.6	8.2
Max W:H Ratio	15.7	13.4
Max FoS	8.72	6.06
Min FoS	0.84	0.61
Panel FoS	3.41 (1.61 if Pillar 114 is not considered)	2.43 (1.21 if Pillar 114 is not considered)

Notes to Table 9: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)



Table No:	G	G1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	7.2	6.2
Max W:H Ratio	12.6	10.8
Max FoS	1.55	1.11
Min FoS	0.23	0.19
Panel FoS	1.06	0.77

Table 10: Summary of Pillar Stability Analysis – Dudley Seam – Panel G

Notes to Table 10: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)

Table 11:	Summary	of Pillar	Stability	Analysis -	Dudley	v Seam – Panel H
-----------	---------	-----------	-----------	------------	--------	------------------

Table No:	н	H1
Full Seam Thickness (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Height Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Working Section (m)	1.7	2.0
Pillar Dimensions	RT691	RT691
Min. W:H Ratio	7.6	6.5
Max W:H Ratio	12.4	10.6
Max FoS	3.94	2.89
Min FoS	0.32	0.25
Panel FoS	1.52	1.13

Notes to Table 11: Panel Factor of Safety (FoS) is total strength of pillars (kN) / total load on pillars (kN)

From Tables 4 to 11 above, and the attached analysis, the following is noted:

- Panel A
 - For a working height of 1.7 m, the pillars with the greatest risk of failure are Pillars A1 to A3, which have a factor of safety of 1.78, equating to a probability of failure of less than 1 in 10,000. The overall panel factor of safety is 4.1, indicating that the panel would be long term stable (probability of failure of less than 1 in 1,000,000);
 - o For a working height of 2 m, the individual factors of safety for pillars A1 to A3 reduce to 1.35, indicating the pillars are marginally stable. The panel factor of safety reduces to 2.85 under this scenario, indicating that the probability of failure of the overall panel remains greater than 1 in 1,000,000.



- Panel B
 - For a working height of 1.7 m, the pillars with the greatest risk of failure are Pillars D and E, which have a factor of safety of 1.3 and 1.11 respectively. The overall panel factor of safety is 1.71, indicating a probability of overall panel failure of less than 1 in 10,000;
 - o For a working height of 2 m, the individual factors of safety for Pillars D and E are less than 1, indicating that they would likely have crushed. Pillars F1 and F2 have factors of safety of 1.35 and 1.37 respectively, while the panel factor of safety reduces to 1.28 under this scenario, indicating marginal overall stability of the panel.
- Panel C
 - o For a working height of 1.7 m, the pillars with the greatest risk of failure are Pillars M1 and M2, which have factors of safety of 0.79 and 1.73 respectively, while the remaining pillars in the panel have factors of safety of greater than 2.1. The overall panel factor of safety is 10.85, or 3.55 if Pillar H, which is a very large pillar located in the northern part of the panel, is removed from the analysis. The analysis suggests that the panel is likely to be long term stable overall, apart from Pillar M1, which would likely have crushed;
 - o For a working height of 2 m, pillar M1 has a factor of safety of 0.66, indicating that it would likely have crushed, while pillars M2 to P have factors of safety ranging from 1.44 to 2.05. Remaining pillars have factors of safety greater than 2.1. The overall panel factor of safety is 7.42, or 2.65 if Pillar H is removed from the analysis, indicating that the panel is likely to be long term stable overall.
- Panel D
 - For a working height of 1.7 m, the pillars with the greatest risk of failure are Pillars 19, 92, 95 to 100 and 102, which have a factor of safety of less than 1.6, equating to a probability of failure of greater than 1 in 1,000. The overall panel factor of safety is 4.53, indicating that the panel would be long term stable (probability of failure of less than 1 in 1,000,000);
 - o For a working height of 2 m, Pillar 102 has a factor of safety of 0.86, indicating it would likely have crushed. The panel factor of safety reduces to 3.41 under this scenario, indicating that the probability of failure of the overall panel remains greater than 1 in 1,000,000.
- Panel E
 - o For a working height of 1.7 m, the pillars with the greatest risk of failure are Pillars 104 and 105, which have a factor of safety of 1.3, indicating marginal stability. The overall panel factor of safety is 2.38, indicating that the panel in stable overall, with a probability of failure of less than 1 in 1,000,000;
 - o For a working height of 2.0 m, Pillars 104 and 105 have factors of safety of 0.97, indicating that they are likely to have failed. The overall panel factor of safety reduces to 1.73 under this scenario, indicating that the panel has an overall probability of failure of about 1 in 10,000).
- Panel F
 - o For working heights of both 1.7 m and 2.0 m, the analysis indicates that pillars 115 to 117 are likely to have failed, with FoS<1 under both scenarios. Pillars 114 and 118 are likely to be long term stable, with analysis indicating probabilities of failure of less than 1 in 1,000,000.



- Panel G
 - o For a working height of 1.7 m, the panel factor of safety is 1.06, indicating overall marginal stability of the panel. All pillars have FoS<1.6, with 11 of the 19 pillars exhibiting FoS<1;
 - o For a working height of 2 m, 16 of the 19 pillars have factors of safety equal to or less than 1, and the panel factor of safety is 0.77, indicating that the panel is likely to have crushed under this scenario.
- Panel H
 - o For a working height of 1.7 m, 15 of the 27 pillars in the panel have FoS<1.6, and 2 of these have FoS<1, indicating that they are likely to have failed. The overall panel factor of safety is 1.52, indicating that the probability of failure of the panel is less than 1 in 100.
 - o For a working height of 2.0 m, 21 of the 27 pillars in the panel have FoS<1.6, and 10 of these have FoS<1. The overall panel factor of safety is 1.13, indicating marginal overall stability of the panel (overall probability of failure of greater than 1 in 10);

From the above, it can be seen that the analysis is sensitive to the actual worked section within the Dudley Seam. The analysis indicates that, depending on the actual worked section, Panels B, F, G and H are a credible risk of failure, whilst failure of other, localised, pillars is also likely. The analysis indicates that Panels A, C and D are likely to be long term stable overall, while Panel E may also be long term stable, depending on the actual worked seam section.

4.2 Subsidence Prediction

4.2.1 Actual Subsidence – Dudley Seam

The study described in Section 3.2.2 (Ref 5) presents the results of survey monitoring of mine subsidence in the "6 NW" and "4 NW" panels, which underlie the subject site, both during and at the completion of mining. Of these two panels, the magnitude of observed subsidence was greatest for the "6 NW" panel. Further details are provided below.

The survey alignment for "6 NW" panel was along South Street, immediately adjacent to the subject site, and covered a distance of approximately 400 m. The alignment which was surveyed spans a number of panels of second workings (full pillar extraction) and intermediate chain pillars, including the areas represented by Panels A and B (refer Drawing 1).

The data indicates that the maximum subsidence following mining was approximately 180 mm over the surveyed alignment of the "6 NW" panel. Based on the data presented, the subsidence over this panel appeared to be substantially complete by January 1982, less than one year following the completion of mining within the panel.

The maximum reported 'slope change' (i.e. tilt) along the survey alignment on South Street during the survey period was 0.14%, or 1.4 mm/m.



4.2.2 Subsidence Parameters – Dudley Seam

The actual subsidence over bord and pillar workings varies with the size and location of the pillars, pillar extraction areas and any unmined areas. However, values of possible subsidence can be estimated by the use of the Newcastle Coalfields Longwall Guidelines (Ref 4) and reducing height of the working section to account for the average extraction ratio of the panel.

In this instance, subsidence parameters have been back calculated using the known value of maximum measured subsidence previously measured at the site. Given the mining geometry beneath and adjacent to the site, the maximum subsidence which has already occurred in the vicinity of the site would not be exceeded due to any potential future crush of pillars which remain in place.

A maximum subsidence value (S_{max}) of 200 mm was adopted for the purpose of analysis.

The resulting values of strains, tilt and curvature are considered to be limiting values for the available mine data as described in this report. For this reason, the values are denoted 'worst credible' subsidence parameters.

Estimates of 'worst credible' subsidence parameters were undertaken for the case of S_{max} = 200 mm, and a working section of 1.7 m at 170 m depth (Lot 1) and 150 m depth (Lots 2 and 3), using the methods outlined in Ref 4; the results are presented in Table 7.

	Lot 1 Lots 2 and 3									
Working Section	1.7	' m								
Maximum Surface Subsidence (m)	0	.2								
Maximum Tensile Strain (mm/m)	0.5									
Maximum Compressive Strain (mm/m)	0.7	0.8								
Maximum Tilt (mm/m)	2.1	2.4								
Minimum Radius of Curvature (km)	13 km	12 km								

 Table 7: Worst Credible Subsidence Parameters

4.3 Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the review of available information, and the results of the pillar stability analysis and risk assessment, it is DP's opinion that:

• The risk of future mine subsidence affecting the subject site due to workings within the VT Seam is barely credible;



• There is some risk of future mine subsidence affecting the subject site due to failure of pillars within the Dudley Seam workings.

It is considered good practice to accommodate the 'worst credible' effects of mine subsidence in the event that a pillar failure may occur in the future.

It is therefore recommended that the proposed development should be designed to accommodate the mine subsidence parameters presented in Table 7.

5. References

- Douglas Partners Pty Ltd, "Report on Desk Study of Mine Subsidence Risk, Proposed Masters Home Improvement Development, Pacific Highway, Windale", Project No. 49710.01 dated April 2012.
- 2. Taylor, G H, "Petrographic Data on some New South Wales Coals", Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation miscellaneous report 242, November 1963.
- 3. Galvin, J M, Hebblewhite B K, Salamon M D G and Lin B B, "Establishing the Strength of Rectangular and Irregular Pillars", Final Report for ACARP Project C5024, 1998.
- Holla, L, "Mining subsidence in New South Wales 2. Surface subsidence prediction in the Newcastle Coalfield", Department of Mineral Resources, ISBN 0 7305 1522 2 (Volume 2, January 1987).
- 5. William Arthur Kapp PhD thesis (1984) "Mine subsidence and strata control in the Newcastle district on the northern coalfield New South Wales Study 8, Use of the panel and pillar system to control subsidence in residential and light industrial areas", Department of Civil and Mining Engineering.

6. Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) has prepared this report for this project at 4B South Street, Bennetts Green in accordance with DP's email proposal dated 24 November 2017 and email acceptance received from Mr Matthew Skerrett of Blueprint dated 24 November 2017 acting on behalf of SPG Investments Pty Ltd. The work was carried out under DP's Conditions of Engagement. This report is provided for the exclusive use of SPG Investments Pty Ltd for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of DP, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to DP for any loss or damage. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

DP's advice is based upon the conditions inferred as part of this desk study investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site and by mining conditions beneath the site.



The data on which the mine subsidence assessment is based includes mine plans previously obtained by DP from the NSW Department of Industry. The mine plans, however, were prepared by third parties and not by the Department, nor by the NSW Government nor by DP. These organisations were not responsible for preparation of the mine plans and provide no warranty that the data are complete, current or accurate in the depiction of the mine workings.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by DP. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd

Appendix A

About This Report

About this Report

Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify DP's report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

DP's reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Borehole and Test Pit Logs

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

 In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;

- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and
- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, DP will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, DP cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, DP will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.

About this Report

Site Anomalies

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, DP requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. DP would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection

The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.

Appendix B

Table A – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel A – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table B – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel B – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table C – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel C – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table D – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel D – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table E – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel E – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table F – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel F – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table G – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel G – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table H – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel H – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m Table A1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel A – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table B1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel B – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table C1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel C – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table D1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel D – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table E1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel E – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table F1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel F – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table G1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel G – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table H1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel H – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m Table V – Pillar Stability Analysis, VT Seam – Measured Pillar Dimensions – Pillar Height = Working Section 2.39 m Table V1 – Pillar Stability Analysis, VT Seam - Measured Pillar Dimensions Working Section 2.39 m, Pillar Height = 3.03 m

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duc	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd													Connes · Linnonnio	C. Dippinovalar	
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	Development					Date:	24/11/17																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
ř	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimens	sions from R	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	y Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		Н	Н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ_0	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m ³	m³	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
					_																							
A1-A3	Full abut loads	170.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.0	528.0	825.0	9.7	1.320	1.320	6.64	3506	А		7241.9		20.36	36.17	19100	1.78	1.6E-04
В	Full abut loads	172.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	30.0	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	27.9	960.0	1331.3	17.6	1.032	1.032	5.96	5724	А		11622.2		18.07	93.96	90206	5.20	6.3E-26
С	Full abut loads	174.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.5	33.0	90.0	5.0	5.5	32.5	610.5	904.8	10.9	1.282	1.282	6.45	3936	А		5864.6		16.05	42.60	26008	2.65	5.2E-10
W1	Full/70% abut loads	168.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	30.0	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	27.9	960.0	1331.3	17.6	1.032	1.032	5.82	5591	А		14992.4		21.44	93.96	90206	4.38	8.2E-21
W2	Full/30% abut loads	167.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	30.0	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	27.9	960.0	1331.3	17.6	1.032	1.032	5.79	5558	А		12991.7		19.32	93.96	90206	4.86	8.1E-24
												Total	4018.5	5723.5														

Table A - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel A - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered (refer to text)







Summary Max Min

3.78 Mean

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	77028.26	M٢
Total Pilla Capacity	315725.04	M

Panel FoS

4.10

FoS

5.20

																									Seo	lecimics · Environme	ni • Groundwater	
	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duo	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial [Development					Date:	24/11/17																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet. Benne	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimens	sions from F	27																				,			
												_				1										-		
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	ay Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θο	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
												1							[[
D	Full/70% abut loads	169.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.0	528.0	825.0	9.7	1.320	1.320	6.60	3486	А		11253.8		27.92	36.17	19100	1.30	4.8E-02
E	Full/70% abut loads	170.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	29.5	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.8	486.8	770.0	9.7	1.283	1.283	6.72	3273	А		12395.3		32.19	35.65	17355	1.11	2.9E-01
F1	Full abut loads	170.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.0	528.0	825.0	9.7	1.320	1.320	6.66	3517	А		7178.3		20.26	36.17	19100	1.79	1.4E-04
F2	Full abut loads	171.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	33.0	528.0	787.5	9.7	1.320	1.320	6.38	3367	А		7178.3		19.97	36.17	19100	1.81	9.6E-05
G1	Full abut loads	171.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.0	32.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	31.0	608.0	881.3	11.2	1.255	1.255	6.21	3778	А		8229.4		19.75	44.04	26776	2.23	2.3E-07
G2	Full abut loads	172.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.0	32.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	31.0	608.0	881.3	11.2	1.255	1.255	6.23	3789	А		7332.3		18.29	44.04	26776	2.41	1.8E-08
												Total	3286.8	4970.0														

Table B - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel B - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

- 2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less
- 3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered





Douglas Partners Pty Ltd



Summary

	FoS
Max	2.41
Min	1.11
Mean	1.77

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	74776.38	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	128207.00	MN

Panel FoS

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duc	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	evelopment					Date:	24/11/17																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Bennet	ts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimens	sions from R	Т																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	y Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H	-		(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
н	Full abut loads	161.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	48.0	76.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	16.3	3648.0	4360.3	28.2	1.226	1.226	4.83	17605	А		15440.1		9.06	279.91	1021107	30.90	1.5E-186
J1	30%/70% abut loads	164.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.0	34.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	35.0	578.0	888.8	10.0	1.333	1.333	6.30	3644	А		3111.5		11.69	38.04	21986	3.25	9.1E-14
J2	30%/70% abut loads	164.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.0	34.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	35.0	578.0	888.8	10.0	1.333	1.333	6.30	3644	А		3663.8		12.64	38.04	21986	3.01	3.1E-12
к	70% abut load	164.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.5	30.5	90.0	4.5	5.5	32.6	533.8	792.0	10.3	1.271	1.271	6.10	3257	А		2443.2		10.68	38.82	20718	3.63	3.8E-16
L	70% abut load	165.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.0	30.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	32.4	540.0	798.8	10.6	1.250	1.250	6.10	3295	А		2681.3		11.07	40.24	21731	3.64	3.8E-16
M1	Full abut load	170.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	7.0	31.0	90.0	4.5	5.0	47.6	217.0	414.0	4.1	1.632	1.200	8.11	1760	А		2711.2		20.60	16.30	3538	0.79	1.0E+00
M2	70% abut load (M1)	171.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	7.0	31.0	90.0	4.5	5.0	47.6	217.0	414.0	4.1	1.632	1.200	8.18	1775	А		267.8		9.41	16.30	3538	1.73	3.0E-04
N	70% abut load	168.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.5	19.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	37.0	370.5	588.0	11.5	0.987	0.987	6.67	2470	А		2921.9		14.55	40.70	15079	2.80	6.6E-11
Р	70%/70% abut loads	169.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.0	45.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	28.7	810.0	1136.3	10.6	1.429	1.429	5.93	4801	А		11013.2		19.52	43.08	34893	2.21	3.2E-07
Q	30%/30% abut loads	168.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.0	30.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	31.0	600.0	869.8	11.8	1.200	1.200	6.09	3653	А		1947.6		9.33	46.95	28169	5.03	7.3E-25
R	No abut load	169.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	22.0	25.5	90.0	6.0	5.5	35.4	561.0	868.0	12.9	1.074	1.074	6.54	3667						52.51	29459	8.03	1.2E-43
S	70%/30% abut loads	162.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.5	39.0	74.0	5.5	5.5	31.6	760.5	1112.5	11.5	1.316	1.316	5.92	4506	А		3811.4		10.94	47.12	35838	4.31	2.3E-20
т	70%/30% abut loads	162.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	15.5	39.0	74.0	5.5	5.5	35.3	604.5	934.5	9.1	1.415	1.415	6.26	3785	А		2606.3		10.57	34.25	20707	3.24	1.1E-13
U	30% abut load	165.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	13.0	70.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	34.8	910.0	1396.8	7.6	1.687	1.687	6.33	5762	А		3351.6		10.01	29.98	27279	2.99	3.9E-12
V	No abut load	168.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	22.0	31.0	90.0	5.0	6.0	31.7	682.0	999.0	12.9	1.170	1.170	6.15	4196						54.86	37414	8.92	3.7E-49
												Total	11610.3	16461.3												1		

Table C - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel C - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered



Panel Extraction Ratio

0.29



() Douglas Partners

Summary		FoS
	Max	30.90
	Min	0.79
	Mean	5.63

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	123787.88	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	1343441.79	MN

Panel FoS

^{1.} Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

	Analysis Assumptio Comment Panel D Panel D	Depth	Pillar dime Seam Thickness	Working	T Pillar Height	Lloit	D.11																				
ĸ	Panel D Panel D	()		Section H	Section H	Weigth γ	Width	Details Length Lp	Internal Angle	Roadw Wr	ay Details Lr	Extract. Ratio	Pillar Area	Total Area	Width/ Height Ratio	Width 🖌	Modifier B	Pillar Stress (Tributary)	Pillar Load (Tributary)	Abut (A) Yield (Y)	Shed Load	Load Received	Pillar Stress ("Yield")	Pillar Stress ("Abut")	Strength	Pow "Ultimate" Load	er Law FoS
k	Panel D Panel D	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN	
k	Denel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	18.3 18.3	18.9 18.3	90.0 90.0	6.1 6.1	5.6 5.6	42.1 42.6	345.9 334.9	597.8 583.2	10.8 10.8	1.016	1.016	6.14 6.18	2122 2070	A		3805.3 671.5		17.14 8.19	37.18 36.88	12861 12351	2.17
×	Panel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.8	26.4	90.0	6.1	6.1	42.4 38.7	496.3	809.3	11.1	1.168	1.168	5.75	2096						41.72	20707	7.26
k	Panel D Panel D	142.0 141.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.9 19.2	18.9 21.7	90.0 90.0	6.3 6.0	6.1 6.0	43.3 40.3	357.2 416.6	630.0 698.0	11.1 11.3	1.000	1.000	6.26 5.91	2237 2461						38.88 41.14	13889 17141	6.21 6.97
×	Panel D Panel D	141.0 142.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	15.6 11.5	19.2 20.6	90.0 90.0	6.0 6.0	6.4 6.0	45.8 49.1	299.5 236.9	553.0 465.5	9.2 6.8	1.103 1.283	1.103 1.283	6.51 6.98	1949 1653						30.45 22.96	9120 5439	4.68 3.29
×	Panel D Panel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	10.1	16.3 13.3	90.0	6.0	5.9	53.9 56.9	164.6	357.4	5.9	1.235	1.230	7.71	1269						20.14	3315 2511	2.61
ĸ	Panel D	140.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	9.9	18.7	90.0	6.2	6.6	54.6	185.1	407.3	5.8	1.308	1.287	7.70	1426						20.31	3760	2.64
k	Panel D Panel D	142.0 141.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.7 18.6	23.5 24.7	74.0 74.0	6.0 6.0	6.3 6.3	40.3 39.8	439.5 459.4	736.1 762.6	11.0 10.9	1.094	1.094 1.121	5.95 5.85	2613 2688						40.00 40.15	17578 18446	6.73 6.86
k	Panel D Panel D	141.0 142.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	19.2 18.5	25.5 28.2	90.0 90.0	5.9 6.2	6.0 6.2	38.1 38.6	489.6 521.7	790.7 849.7	11.3 10.9	1.141	1.141	5.69 5.78	2787 3016						42.69 41.33	20902 21562	7.50 7.15
	Panel D	141.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	9.0	18.1	90.0	6.3	6.4	56.5	162.9	374.9	5.3	1.336	1.248	8.11	1321						18.80	3062	2.32
	Panel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.8	31.2	90.0	6.4	5.8	37.1	586.6	932.4	11.0	1.248	1.248	5.64	3310						43.15	25312	7.65
L	Panel D Panel D	142.0 142.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	7.2 18.4	18.4 18.6	90.0 90.0	6.4 6.1	5.9 6.0	59.9 43.2	132.5 342.2	330.5 602.7	4.2 10.8	1.438	1.161 1.005	8.86 6.25	1173 2140	A		1573.3		10.85	16.27 37.31	2155 12769	1.84 3.44
	Panel D Panel D	142.0 142.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.3 18.4	18.7 19.0	90.0 90.0	6.2 6.0	6.0 6.3	43.5 43.4	342.2 349.6	605.2 617.3	10.8 10.8	1.011 1.016	1.011 1.016	6.28 6.27	2148 2191	A		674.3		8.25	37.08 37.51	12690 13114	4.50 5.98
l	Panel D Panel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	16.0 18.5	18.2 19.2	74.0	6.3	6.1	46.3	291.2	541.9 627.4	9.4	1.045	1.045	6.61	1924						30.70 37.52	8939 13326	4.65
l	Panel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.1	18.9	90.0	6.2	6.2	43.9	342.1	609.9	10.6	1.022	1.022	6.33	2165						36.63	12531	5.79
	Panel D Panel D	142.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.8	18.8 44.0	90.0	6.3	6.2	43.4 35.5	774.4	625.0 1199.8	11.1	1.429	1.429	5.50	4259						38.54 41.57	32193	6.14 7.56
l	Panel D Panel D	143.0 143.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.4 19.0	19.0 19.2	90.0 90.0	5.9 5.9	6.0 6.1	42.5 42.1	349.6 364.8	607.5 630.0	10.8 11.2	1.016	1.016 1.005	6.21 6.17	2172 2252	A A		1582.5 695.0		10.74 8.08	37.51 39.33	13114 14347	3.49 4.87
l	Panel D Panel D	143.0 143.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.9 16.1	19.1 18.9	90.0 90.0	6.3 6.5	6.0 6.2	42.9 46.4	361.0 304.3	632.5 567.3	11.1 9.5	1.005	1.005	6.26 6.66	2261 2028						38.99 31.50	14074 9587	6.22 4.73
	Panel D	143.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.6	18.9	90.0	6.2	6.1	43.3	351.5	620.0	10.9	1.008	1.008	6.31	2217						38.02	13367	6.03
	Panel D	143.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.1	19.0	90.0	6.2	6.2	43.1	362.9	637.6	11.2	0.997	0.997	6.28	2279						39.52	14340	6.29
l	Panel D	145.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.1	20.3	74.0	6.3	6.1	43.0	367.4	644.2	10.9	1.038	1.038	6.31	2238						36.92	13566	5.85
l	Panel D Panel D	145.0 145.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.2 18.3	18.6 18.9	90.0 90.0	6.3 6.1	6.1 5.9	44.1 42.8	338.5 345.9	605.2 605.1	10.7 10.8	1.011	1.011 1.016	6.48 6.34	2194 2194	A		1633.8		11.07	36.76 37.18	12443 12861	5.67 3.36
l	Panel D Panel D	145.0 145.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.6 18.6	19.0 18.9	90.0 90.0	6.0 6.0	5.9 6.4	42.3 43.5	353.4 351.5	612.5 622.4	10.9 10.9	1.011 1.008	1.011 1.008	6.28 6.42	2220 2256	A		705.9		8.28	38.08 38.02	13456 13367	4.60 5.92
l	Panel D Panel D	145.0 145.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	16.3 18.2	18.2 18.5	90.0 90.0	6.3 6.1	6.2 6.0	46.2 43.4	296.7 336.7	551.4 595.4	9.6 10.7	1.055	1.055	6.74 6.41	1999 2158						31.70 36.71	9404 12360	4.70 5.73
l	Panel D	145.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.5	19.0	90.0	6.1	6.0	42.8	351.5	615.0	10.9	1.013	1.013	6.34	2229						37.79	13284	5.96
	Panel D	145.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.6	19.2	90.0	6.1	6.0	42.6	357.1	622.4	10.9	1.016	1.016	6.32	2256						38.18	13633	6.04
	Panel D Panel D	145.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	18.6	20.8	74.0	6.2	6.1	43.2	347.8	664.4	10.9	1.042	1.042	6.38	2221						37.54 37.99	13057	5.88 6.04
	Panel D Panel D	145.0 146.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	18.5 16.5	18.5 18.5	90.0 90.0	6.4 6.2	6.2 6.0	44.4 45.1	342.3 305.3	615.0 556.2	10.9 9.7	1.000 1.057	1.000 1.057	6.51 6.65	2229 2030	А		1541.0		11.70	37.54 32.31	12847 9861	5.76 2.76
	Panel D Panel D	146.0 146.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	16.5 16.4	18.6 18.2	90.0 90.0	6.2 6.0	6.2 6.1	45.5 45.2	306.9 298.5	563.0 544.3	9.7 9.6	1.060	1.060	6.70 6.66	2055 1987	A A		1541.0 651.7		11.72 8.84	32.35 31.94	9928 9533	2.76 3.61
	Panel D Panel D	146.0 146.0	1.7 1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	16.6 16.7	19.2 18.8	90.0 90.0	6.0 5.9	6.1 6.1	44.3 44.2	318.7 314.0	571.8 562.7	9.8 9.8	1.073	1.073	6.55 6.54	2087 2054						32.84 32.92	10467 10337	5.02 5.03
l	Panel D	146.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.8	17.6	90.0	5.7	6.3	45.0	295.7	537.8	9.9	1.023	1.023	6.64	1963						32.64	9652	4.92
l	Panel D	146.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.7	18.7	74.0	6.0	6.2	44.2	312.3	565.2	9.8	1.037	1.037	6.61	2020						32.57	10133	4.93
	Panel D Panel D	146.0 146.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	16.6 17.3	18.4 18.1	74.0 90.0	6.0 5.9	6.2 6.2	45.1 44.5	305.4 313.1	556.0 563.8	9.8 10.2	1.032	1.032	6.64 6.57	2029 2058						32.20 34.13	9834 10687	4.85 5.19
	Panel D Panel D	146.0 146.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	17.6 17.9	19.6 18.8	90.0 90.0	5.8 5.8	6.2 6.1	42.9 43.0	345.0 336.5	603.7 590.1	10.4 10.5	1.054 1.025	1.054 1.025	6.39 6.40	2204 2154						35.60 36.04	12279 12128	5.57 5.63
	Panel D Panel D	148.0 148.0	1.7 1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	17.9 17.9	18.8 18.8	90.0 90.0	5.8 5.8	6.1 6.1	43.0 43.0	336.5 336.5	590.1 590.1	10.5 10.5	1.025	1.025	6.49 6.49	2183 2183	A		708.5		8.59 8.59	36.04 36.04	12128 12128	4.19 4.19
	Panel D	148.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.9	18.8	90.0	5.8	6.1	43.0	336.5	590.1	10.5	1.025	1.025	6.49	2183			100.0			36.04	12128	5.55
	Panel D	148.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.9	18.8	90.0	5.8	6.1	43.0	336.5	590.1	10.5	1.025	1.025	6.49	2183						36.04	12128	5.55
	Panel D Panel D	148.0 148.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	17.9 17.9	18.8 18.8	90.0 74.0	5.8 5.8	6.1 6.1	43.0 43.0	336.5 336.5	590.1 590.1	10.5 10.5	1.025	1.025	6.49 6.49	2183 2183						36.04 35.68	12128 12008	5.55 5.50
	Panel D Panel D	148.0 148.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	17.9 17.9	18.8 18.8	74.0 90.0	5.8 5.8	6.1 6.1	43.0 43.0	336.5 336.5	590.1 590.1	10.5 10.5	1.005	1.005 1.025	6.49 6.49	2183 2183						35.68 36.04	12008 12128	5.50 5.55
	Panel D Panel D	148.0 148.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	17.9 17.9	18.8 18.8	90.0 90.0	5.8 5.8	6.1 6.1	43.0 43.0	336.5 336.5	590.1 590.1	10.5 10.5	1.025	1.025	6.49 6.49	2183 2183						36.04 36.04	12128 12128	5.55
	Panel D	148.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.9	18.8	90.0	5.8	6.1	43.0	336.5	590.1	10.5	1.025	1.025	6.49	2183						36.04	12128	5.55
l	Panel D Panel D	148.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.9	29.9	90.0	5.9 6.2	6.6	45.0 38.6	297.4 553.2	540.4 901.6	9.9	1.020	1.020	6.15	3403						32.89 41.81	9782 23129	4.89 6.80
1	Panel D Panel D	151.0 151.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	9.0 8.3	28.5 29.6	90.0 90.0	5.8 6.0	6.4 6.2	50.3 52.0	256.5 245.7	516.5 511.9	5.3 4.9	1.520 1.562	1.377 1.323	7.60 7.87	1950 1933						19.77 18.69	5070 4592	2.60 2.38
	Panel D Panel D	151.0 151.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	8.5 8.5	30.2 30.0	90.0 90.0	5.7 6.1	6.3 6.0	50.5 51.5	256.7 255.0	518.3 525.6	5.0 5.0	1.561 1.558	1.346 1.344	7.62 7.78	1957 1984						19.08 19.07	4899 4864	2.50 2.45
1	Panel D	151.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	8.7	28.9	74.0	6.0	6.0	51.0	251.4	513.0	5.1	1.523	1.346	7.70	1937						19.17	4820	2.49
1	Panel D	151.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	8.5	29.1	90.0	6.0	6.4	51.0	247.4	514.8	4.9	1.541	1.312	7.86	1943						19.03	4707	2.39
1	Panel D Panel D	151.0 151.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	8.5 8.5	29.1 29.1	90.0 90.0	6.0 6.0	6.4 6.4	51.9 51.9	247.4 247.4	514.8 514.8	5.0 5.0	1.548 1.548	1.338 1.338	7.86 7.86	1943 1943						19.03 19.03	4707 4707	2.42 2.42
1	Panel D Panel D	151.0 151.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	8.5 8.5	29.1 29.1	90.0 90.0	6.0 6.0	6.4 6.4	51.9 51.9	247.4 247.4	514.8 514.8	5.0 5.0	1.548 1.548	1.338 1.338	7.86 7.86	1943 1943	A		451.3		9.68	19.03 19.03	4707 4707	2.42
1	Panel D Panel D	151.0	1.7	1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	8.5 8.5	29.1 29.1	90.0 90.0	6.0 6.0	6.4 6.4	51.9 51.9	247.4 247.4	514.8 514.8	5.0 5.0	1.548 1.548	1.338	7.86	1943 1943	A		451.3 0.0		9.68	19.03 19.03	4707 4707	1.97 2.42
l	Panel D	151.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	8.5	29.1	90.0	6.0	6.4	51.9	247.4	514.8	5.0	1.548	1.338	7.86	1943			0.0		7 60	19.03	4707	2.42
1	Panel D	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.1	28.1	74.0	6.4	6.5	37.7	592.9	951.5	12.4	1.123	1.123	6.18	3663	A		890.2		7.68	49.84	29551	6.49
1	Panel D Panel D	153.0 153.0	1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7	25 25	8.2 6.9	30.4 30.4	74.0 90.0	6.2 6.2	6.5 6.5	53.1 56.6	249.3 209.8	531.4 483.4	4.8 4.1	1.562 1.630	1.311 1.188	8.15 8.81	2032 1849	А		0.0 418.6		10.81	18.49 16.10	4610 3378	2.27
	Panel D Panel D	153.0 153.0	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	16.6 17.0	30.0 28.4	90.0 90.0	6.5 6.2	6.2 6.3	40.4 40.0	498.0 482.8	836.2 805.0	9.8 10.0	1.288 1.251	1.288 1.251	6.42 6.38	3199 3079	A A		1722.1 1729.6		9.88 9.96	36.05 36.82	17951 17778	3.65 3.70
1	Panel D Panel D	153.0 153.0	1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	25 25	8.2 8.0	28.6 28.6	90.0 90.0	6.0 6.0	6.5 6.5	52.9 53.4	234.5 228.8	498.4 491.4	4.8 4.7	1.554 1.563	1.307	8.13 8.22	1906 1880	A		1058.6 447.3		12.64 10.17	18.46 18.10	4330 4142	1.46
1	Panel D Panel D	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25 25	8.0 8.0	29.6 29.6	90.0 90.0	6.3	6.4 6.4	54.0	236.8 236.8	514.8 514.8	4.7 4.7	1.574	1.294	8.32 8.32	1969	A		1066.1		12.82 10.24	18.14 18.14	4296 4296	1.42
1	Panel D	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	8.1	29.2	74.0	6.1	6.3	53.1	236.5	504.1	4.8	1.552	1.295	8.15	1928	A		1058.6		12.63	18.26	4319	1.45
	Panel D Panel D	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	9.0	28.9	90.0	6.2	6.5	51.7	260.1	538.1	5.3	1.525	1.381	7.91	2058			F07 C		10.10	19.79	5148	2.50
l	Failer D	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	7.0	17.1	50.0	0.1	0.1	Total	#REF!	#REF!	4.1	1.419	1.139	0./1	1102	A		0.160		13.54	13.00	1901	1.02
⊥		1				ı										1				. I					Summarv		FoS
	Pillar stability ar	nalysis bas	sed on the	methods of	Galvin, Heb	belwhite, S	alamon a	nd Lin (1	998) UNS	SW Minir	ig Resear	ch Centre	e Report F	RR3/98.												Max Min	7.65 1.02

Total Pillar Load 250120.20 MN Total Pilla Capacity 1133176.95 MN

4.53

Panel FoS

FOS≤1 1<FOS≤1.6 1.6<FOS≤2.1

Prelia statulity analysis based on ine methods of cavin, rebuditimite, salaritori and Lin (1999) Uncov milling Researd relia Report RA396.
 Reliafonding between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of onal plift affulture is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 16 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoS above this are an extrapolation factor of a set of the fifth of the

2/03/2018, 49710.03. A.001.Rev0.Pillar_stability TAC

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Du	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments P	ty Ltd												Geo	lechnics · Environmen	d • Groundwater	
	Project:		Proposed C	ommercial [Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet Benne	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710 01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ons:	Pillar dimen	sions from F	RT																							
Pilla	r Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	v Details	Extract	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	ver Law	
ld:		Dopui	Thickness	Section	Section	Weiath	Width	Length	Internal	nouunu	<i>y</i> 2 0 tano	Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strenath	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		П		н	н	v	Wn		Angle	Wr	١r				Ratio	Θ.		(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Vield (Y)			("Vield")	("Abut")	j	bed		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	/ (ingle	(m)	(m)	(0/)	m³	m³	M/n/H	00	0	(MDo)	(Thoutary)	(2)	MNI	MNI				MNI		orrandre
		(11)	(11)	(111)	(11)	((((())))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	(11)	(111)	()	(11)	(111)	(70)			vvp/n		1	(IVIFa)	IVIIN	(?)	IVIIN	IVIIN	(IVIFa)	(IVIFa)	(IVIFa)	IVIIN		<u> </u>
100							17.0																		10.00			
103	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.9	47.5	90.0	6.2	6.2	34.3	850.3	1294.2	10.5	1.453	1.453	5.86	4983	A		5520.7		12.35	43.06	36614	3.49	3.3E-15
104	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.5	21.2	90.0	6.2	6.6	43.7	371.0	658.9	10.3	1.096	1.096	6.84	2537	A		7755.8		27.74	35.99	13351	1.30	4.8E-02
105	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	17.5	21.2	90.0	6.2	6.6	43.7	371.0	658.9	10.3	1.096	1.096	6.84	2537	Α		7755.8		27.74	35.99	13351	1.30	4.8E-02
106	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.9	29.5	90.0	6.2	6.4	36.6	616.6	972.9	12.3	1.171	1.171	6.08	3746	Α		8868.5		20.46	50.06	30864	2.45	1.0E-08
107	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.9	29.5	90.0	6.2	6.4	36.6	616.6	972.9	12.3	1.171	1.171	6.08	3746	А		8868.5		20.46	50.06	30864	2.45	1.0E-08
108	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.2	29.6	90.0	6.2	6.1	35.8	627.5	978.2	12.5	1.165	1.165	6.00	3766	А		8966.7		20.29	51.22	32143	2.52	3.3E-09
109	Panel E	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.2	29.6	90.0	6.2	6.1	35.8	627.5	978.2	12.5	1,165	1,165	6.00	3766	А		8966 7		20.29	51.22	32143	2.52	3.3E-09
110	Panel F	155.0	17	17	1 7	25	21.0	30.2	90.0	6.1	6.2	35.7	634.2	986.4	12.4	1 180	1 180	6.03	3822	Δ		8926.1		20.10	50.68	32142	2 52	3.5E-09
111	Panol E	155.0	17	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	20.2	74.0	6.1	6.2	25.7	624.2	096.4	12.1	1 161	1 161	6.02	2922	^		8026.1		20.10	50.26	21975	2.50	4.7E.00
110		155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	30.2	74.0	0.1	0.2	35.7	034.2	900.4	12.4	1.101	1.101	0.03	3022	A		0920.1		20.10	30.20	31075	2.50	4.7E-09
112	Panel E	155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.8	30.3	74.0	6.1	5.5	34.6	630.2	963.0	12.2	1.167	1.167	5.92	3732	A		8860.2		19.98	49.55	31230	2.48	6.3E-09
113	Panel E	155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.5	30.3	90.0	6.1	5.5	34.8	621.2	952.3	12.1	1.193	1.193	5.94	3690	A		8761.4		20.05	48.85	30346	2.44	1.2E-08
												Total	6600.2	10402.2														

Table E - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel E- Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered



Panel Extraction Ratio

0.37



Project	No:
---------	-----

Summary

FoS Max 3.49 1.30 Min Mean

2.36

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	132322.01
Total Pilla Capacity	314922.87

Panel FoS

2.38

MN

MN

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duo	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd													Comito · Eneronnis	K • Di Dini walai	
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimens	sions from R	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	y Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	Н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ_0	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m ³	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
114	Panel F	155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	26.7	106.8	90.0	0.1	5.9	5.6	2851.6	3020.4	15.7	1.600	1.600	4.10	11704	А		18693.0		10.66	92.97	265104	8.72	6.2E-48
115	Panel F	160.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.4	20.9	90.0	5.6	6.0	39.0	426.4	699.4	12.0	1.012	1.012	6.56	2798	А		18720.0		50.47	44.54	18992	0.88	7.7E-01
116	Panel F	162.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.2	20.9	90.0	5.6	6.0	39.2	422.2	694.0	11.9	1.017	1.017	6.66	2811	А		18808.2		51.21	43.90	18533	0.86	8.3E-01
117	Panel F	163.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.1	20.9	90.0	5.6	6.0	39.2	420.1	691.3	11.8	1.020	1.020	6.71	2817	А		18851.0		51.58	43.58	18306	0.84	8.6E-01
118	Panel F	163.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.4	130.3	90.0	3.0	5.8	19.1	2136.9	2640.3	9.6	1.776	1.776	5.03	10759	А		14229.9		11.69	41.72	89151	3.57	1.0E-15
												Total	6257.1	7745.5														

Table F - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel F - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

- 2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less
- Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered (refer to text)







Summary		FoS
	Max	8.72
	Min	0.84
	Mean	2.97
Danal Eactor	of safety Ba	sed on Trib

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	120190.88	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	410086.27	MN

Panel FoS

	Mine Workings -		R1691 - Duc	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	ty Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Benne	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimens	sions from F	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	y Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pov	ver Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal		Í	Ratio	Area	Area	Height		1	Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H	Ů	_	(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
																	Ī											1
119	Panel G	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.2	20.8	90.0	6.1	6.1	43.8	337.0	599.9	9.5	1.124	1.124	6.81	2295	А		15353.6		52.37	32.45	10934	0.62	1.5E+00
120	Panel G	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	31.2	90.0	6.2	5.7	34.7	655.2	1003.7	12.4	1.195	1.195	5.86	3839	А		18727.2		34.44	51.02	33432	1.48	5.9E-03
121	Panel G	154.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.9	30.0	90.0	6.4	6.2	36.6	627.0	988.3	12.3	1.179	1.179	6.07	3805	А		18918.9		36.24	50.24	31498	1.39	1.7E-02
122	Panel G	155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.1	29.6	74.0	6.2	6.5	36.6	624.6	985.5	12.4	1.148	1.148	6.11	3819	А		19041.8		36.60	50.41	31487	1.38	1.9E-02
123	Panel G	156.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.2	29.3	74.0	6.2	6.2	36.1	621.2	972.7	12.5	1.141	1.141	6.11	3794	А		19234.8		37.07	50.68	31478	1.37	2.2E-02
124	Panel G	158.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.0	20.8	90.0	5.9	6.4	40.9	416.0	704.5	11.8	1.020	1.020	6.69	2783	А		18414.9		50.96	43.21	17974	0.85	8.6E-01
125	Panel G	160.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.0	21.1	90.0	6.0	6.2	40.5	422.0	709.8	11.8	1.027	1.027	6.73	2839	А		18720.0		51.09	43.36	18298	0.85	8.5E-01
126	Panel G	161.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.6	21.0	90.0	6.0	6.3	41.1	411.6	698.9	11.5	1.034	1.034	6.83	2813	А		18547.2		51.90	42.05	17307	0.81	9.5E-01
127	Panel G	162.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.7	21.3	90.0	3.0	6.1	34.1	355.7	539.8	9.8	1.121	1.121	6.15	2186	А		14361.3		46.52	33.89	12056	0.73	1.2E+00
128	Panel G	163.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.9	20.8	90.0	3.1	6.2	34.9	351.5	540.0	9.9	1.103	1.103	6.26	2201	А		14670.0		47.99	34.23	12032	0.71	1.2E+00
129	Panel G	152.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	15.9	21.1	90.0	6.2	6.2	44.4	335.5	603.3	9.4	1.141	1.141	6.83	2293	А		15116.4		51.89	31.81	10673	0.61	1.5E+00
130	Panel G	152.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.5	30.9	90.0	6.0	5.8	34.2	664.4	1009.3	12.6	1.179	1.179	5.77	3835	А		18810.0		34.09	52.85	35109	1.55	2.7E-03
131	Panel G	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	30.1	90.0	6.3	6.0	35.9	632.1	985.5	12.4	1.178	1.178	5.96	3770	А		18796.1		35.70	50.65	32014	1.42	1.2E-02
132	Panel G	155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	29.8	74.0	6.2	6.4	36.4	625.8	984.6	12.4	1.154	1.154	6.10	3815	А		18972.0		36.41	50.12	31363	1.38	1.9E-02
133	Panel G	156.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	29.4	74.0	6.4	6.1	36.5	617.4	972.7	12.4	1.147	1.147	6.14	3794	А		19234.8		37.30	49.97	30851	1.34	2.9E-02
134	Panel G	157.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	20.1	90.0	6.3	5.7	40.1	422.1	704.3	12.4	0.978	0.978	6.55	2765	А		19287.5		52.24	46.06	19443	0.88	7.7E-01
135	king section unless ro	158.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	20.1	90.0	6.3	5.7	40.1	422.1	704.3	12.4	0.978	0.978	6.59	2782	А		19410.3		52.58	46.06	19443	0.88	7.8E-01
136	Panel G	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.0	20.1	90.0	6.3	5.7	40.1	422.1	704.3	12.4	0.978	0.978	6.63	2800	A		19533.2		52.91	46.06	19443	0.87	8.0E-01
137	Panel G	160.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	12.3	12.3	90.0	5.8	6.0	54.3	151.3	331.2	7.2	1.000	1.000	8.76	1325	А		13032.0		94.90	21.62	3270	0.23	3.0E+00
												Total	9114.4	14742.7												1		1

Table G - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel G - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less 3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered

Panel Extraction Ratio 0.38





Summary		FoS
	Max	1.55
	Min	0.23
	Mean	1.02

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	395731.90	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	418104.37	MN

Panel FoS

		-							-												Geotechnics - Environment - Groundwater							
	Mine Workings -		R1691 - Du	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed C	ommercial D	Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	<mark>t</mark> reet, Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimen	isions from R	RT																			_				
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	ay Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pov	ver Law	_
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	Н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr		ч	ч	Ratio	Θο	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m°)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m°	m°	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
138	Panel H	160.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	14.3	14.3	90.0	6.4	6.4	52.3	204.5	428.5	8.4	1.000	1.000	8.38	1714	A		14904.0		81.27	25.76	5268	0.32	2.6E+00
139	Panel H	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	21.1	21.1	90.0	5.9	5.7	38.5	445.2	723.6	12.4	1.000	1.000	6.22	2768	A		13942.1		37.53	46.98	20916	1.25	8.0E-02
140	Panel H	153.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.9	20.9	90.0	5.9	5.7	38.7	436.8	712.9	12.3	1.000	1.000	6.24	2727	A		13838.9		37.92	46.19	20178	1.22	1.0E-01
141	Panel H	155.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.9	20.9	90.0	5.9	5.7	38.7	436.8	712.9	12.3	1.000	1.000	6.32	2762	A		14019.8		38.42	46.19	20178	1.20	1.3E-01
142	Panel H	155.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	20.7	29.0	90.0	6.1	6.2	36.4	600.3	943.4	12.2	1.167	1.167	6.09	3656	A		14019.8		29.44	49.14	29499	1.67	7.4E-04
143	Panel H	157.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	20.2	20.7	74.0	6.2	6.1	40.9	418.1	707.5	11.9	0.992	0.992	6.64	2777	A		13988.7		40.10	43.35	18128	1.08	3.4E-01
144	Panel H	157.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	20.2	20.7	74.0	6.2	6.1	40.9	418.1	707.5	11.9	0.992	0.992	6.64	2777	A		13988.7		40.10	43.35	18128	1.08	3.4E-01
145	Panel H	158.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	19.6	20.7	90.0	5.8	6.4	41.1	405.7	688.3	11.5	1.027	1.027	6.70	2719	A		13544.6		40.09	41.90	17000	1.05	4.1E-01
146	Panel H	159.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	16.8	20.9	90.0	6.1	6.2	43.4	351.1	620.6	9.9	1.109	1.109	7.03	2467	A		12288.7		42.02	34.01	11940	0.81	9.6E-01
147	Panel H	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.6	38.9	90.0	5.7	3.2	31.2	645.7	938.8	9.8	1.402	1.402	5.78	3732	A		11966.7		24.31	37.64	24308	1.55	2.7E-03
148	Panel H	160.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	15.2	30.8	90.0	3.2	3.0	24.7	468.2	621.9	8.9	1.339	1.339	5.31	2488	A		9936.0		26.54	32.42	15176	1.22	9.8E-02
149	Panel H	160.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	13.9	15.4	90.0	6.0	6.0	49.7	214.1	425.9	8.2	1.051	1.051	7.96	1703	A		1910.4		16.88	25.50	5458	1.51	4.2E-03
150	Panel H	159.0	1./	1.7	1.7	25	13.0	19.5	90.0	5.8	6.2	47.5	253.5	483.2	7.6	1.200	1.200	7.58	1921	A		4184.9		24.08	25.20	6388	1.05	4.0E-01
151	Panel H	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.2	26.7	90.0	3.1	6.2	31.9	432.5	635.0	9.5	1.245	1.245	5.84	2524	A		1841.2		10.09	34.18	14783	3.39	1.4E-14
152	Panel H	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.2	26.7	90.0	6.1	6.2	41.0	432.5	733.7	9.5	1.245	1.245	0.74	2916	A		2127.4		11.66	34.18	14783	2.93	9.6E-12
153	Panel H	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.2	26.7	90.0	6.2	6.2	41.3	432.5	737.0	9.5	1.245	1.245	0.77	2929	A		2137.0		11.71	34.18	14783	2.92	1.2E-11
154	king section unless ro	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.3	26.9	90.0	5.9	6.3	37.9	519.2	836.6	11.4	1.165	1.165	6.41	3326	A		2404.1		11.04	43.52	22593	3.94	4.5E-18
155	Panel H	157.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	20	19.4	20.9	74.0	5.9 5.7	0.3	37.9	521.9	840.0	11.4	1.143	1.143	6.32	3297	A		6455.9		18.50	43.48	22000	2.30	4.1E-08
150	Panel H	157.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.0	20.5	74.0	5.7	6.2	30.9	530.0 440.6	040.4 752.4	0.7	1.120	1.120	6.70	3299	A 		6707 A		10.40	40.00	24027	2.40	0.1E-09
157		157.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	20.7	90.0	0.5	6.1	246	251.5	527.0	9.7	1.230	1.230	6.07	2900	^		1262 0		19.70	22.29	11721	1.70	1.0E-04
150	Panel H	159.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.2	21.0	90.0	6.2	6.4	44.6	340.2	613.8	9.7	1.127	1.127	7 17	2133	Δ		4303.0		21.83	32.52	11063	1.01	5.3E-03
160	Panel H	158.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.5	21.0	90.0	6.1	6.5	44.0	3/0.2	626.0	9.5	1.125	1.125	7.17	2440	Δ		4900.2		21.00	33.34	11663	1.49	3.3E-03
161	Panel H	158.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	20.0	21.2	90.0	6.0	6.2	44.1	420.0	707.2	9.7 11.8	1.125	1.125	6.65	2473	A A		4999.1 5751.2		21.30	/3 31	18100	2.13	2.4L-03
162	Panel H	158.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	10.8	20.8	90.0	6.2	6.3	41.5	411.8	704.6	11.0	1.024	1.024	6.76	2783	Δ		5751.2		20.34	42.57	1753/	2.13	2.9E-06
163	Panel H	157.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	19.0	20.0	90.0	6.1	6.1	41.5	411.0 411.9	696.7	11.0	1.025	1.025	6.64	2705	A		5602 9		20.72	42.57	17534	2.03	2.9L-00
164	Panel H	157.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	16.2	20.0	90.0	63	6.0	43.9	341.8	609.8	9.5	1 1 2 1	1 1 2 1	7.00	2303	Δ		4945 5		20.40	32.57	11127	1.52	2.0E-00
104	I diletti	137.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	25	10.2	21.1	30.0	0.5	0.0	Total	11234 4	18585 0	3.5	1.131	1.151	7.00	2000	~		-3-3.3		21.77	52.55	11121	1.52	0.3∟-03
												iotai	11234.4	10000.0														
			1			l																						

Table H - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel H - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 1.7 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered



Panel Extraction Ratio

0.40



•••	oje	 140	•

Summary		FoS	
	Max	3.94	
	Min	0.32	
	Mean	1.76	
Panel Factor of	of safety Ba	ased on Tributary lo	bad
- to I Dillow I a sol		000075 00	

Total Pillar Load	289275.83	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	440476.71	MN

Panel FoS

	Mine Workings -		R1691 - Duc	lley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	iy Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	Development					Date:	24/11/17																	
	Location:		4B South Str	reet, Benne	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	าร:	Pillar dimens	sions from F	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	y Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pov	ver Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	Н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ0	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	۳۹	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
A1-A3	Full abut loads	170.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.0	528.0	825.0	8.3	1.320	1.320	6.64	3506	А		7241.9		20.36	27.44	14490	1.35	2.7E-02
В	Full abut loads	172.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	30.0	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	27.9	960.0	1331.3	15.0	1.032	1.032	5.96	5724	А		11622.2		18.07	64.40	61824	3.56	1.1E-15
С	Full abut loads	174.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	18.5	33.0	90.0	5.0	5.5	32.5	610.5	904.8	9.3	1.282	1.282	6.45	3936	А		5864.6		16.05	31.50	19230	1.96	1.1E-05
W1	Full/70% abut loads	168.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	30.0	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	27.9	960.0	1331.3	15.0	1.032	1.032	5.82	5591	А		14992.4		21.44	64.40	61824	3.00	3.4E-12
W2	Full/30% abut loads	167.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	30.0	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	27.9	960.0	1331.3	15.0	1.032	1.032	5.79	5558	А		12991.7		19.32	64.40	61824	3.33	3.0E-14
												Total	4018.5	5723.5														

Table A1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel A - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

- 2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less
- Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered (refer to text)







Summary		FoS
	Max	3.56
	Min	1.35
	Mean	2.64
anal Eastar	of cofoty Ro	cod on Tri

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	77028.26	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	219193.09	MN

Panel FoS

					Cliente SDC Investment - Broundwater																Geol							
	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duc	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	Development					Date:	24/11/17																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	าร:	Pillar dimens	sions from F	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	y Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ0	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H	_		(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
D	Full/70% abut loads	169.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.0	528.0	825.0	8.3	1.320	1.320	6.60	3486	А		11253.8		27.92	27.44	14490	0.98	5.4E-01
Е	Full/70% abut loads	170.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.5	29.5	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.8	486.8	770.0	8.3	1.283	1.283	6.72	3273	А		12395.3		32.19	27.05	13165	0.84	8.8E-01
F1	Full abut loads	170.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	36.0	528.0	825.0	8.3	1.320	1.320	6.66	3517	А		7178.3		20.26	27.44	14490	1.35	2.5E-02
F2	Full abut loads	171.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.5	32.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	33.0	528.0	787.5	8.3	1.320	1.320	6.38	3367	А		7178.3		19.97	27.44	14490	1.37	2.0E-02
G1	Full abut loads	171.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.0	32.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	31.0	608.0	881.3	9.5	1.255	1.255	6.21	3778	А		8229.4		19.75	32.38	19688	1.64	1.1E-03
G2	Full abut loads	172.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.0	32.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	31.0	608.0	881.3	9.5	1.255	1.255	6.23	3789	А		7332.3		18.29	32.38	19688	1.77	1.7E-04
												Total	3286.8	4970.0														

Table B1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel B - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

- 1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.
- 2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less
- Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered







Summary		FoS
	Max	1.77
	Min	0.84
	Mean	1.33

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	74776.38	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	96009.26	MN

Panel FoS

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duc	lley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	y Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	evelopment					Date:	24/11/17																	
	Location:		4B South Str	eet, Bennet	ts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	1s:	Pillar dimens	sions from R	Т																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar I	Details		Roadwa	ay Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ0	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
н	Full abut loads	161.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	48.0	76.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	16.3	3648.0	4360.3	24.0	1.226	1.226	4.83	17605	А		15440.1		9.06	186.02	678601	20.54	9.0E-122
J1	30%/70% abut loads	164.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.0	34.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	35.0	578.0	888.8	8.5	1.333	1.333	6.30	3644	А		3111.5		11.69	28.66	16563	2.45	9.5E-09
J2	30%/70% abut loads	164.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.0	34.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	35.0	578.0	888.8	8.5	1.333	1.333	6.30	3644	А		3663.8		12.64	28.66	16563	2.27	1.4E-07
к	70% abut load	164.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.5	30.5	90.0	4.5	5.5	32.6	533.8	792.0	8.8	1.271	1.271	6.10	3257	А		2443.2		10.68	29.05	15506	2.72	2.0E-10
L	70% abut load	165.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	18.0	30.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	32.4	540.0	798.8	9.0	1.250	1.250	6.10	3295	А		2681.3		11.07	29.93	16163	2.70	2.5E-10
M1	Full abut load	170.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	7.0	31.0	90.0	4.5	5.0	47.6	217.0	414.0	3.5	1.632	1.085	8.11	1760	А		2711.2		20.60	13.51	2932	0.66	1.4E+00
M2	70% abut load (M1)	171.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	7.0	31.0	90.0	4.5	5.0	47.6	217.0	414.0	3.5	1.632	1.085	8.18	1775	А		267.8		9.41	13.51	2932	1.44	9.9E-03
Ν	70% abut load	168.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.5	19.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	37.0	370.5	588.0	9.8	0.987	0.987	6.67	2470	А		2921.9		14.55	29.77	11028	2.05	3.3E-06
Р	70%/70% abut loads	169.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	18.0	45.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	28.7	810.0	1136.3	9.0	1.429	1.429	5.93	4801	А		11013.2		19.52	32.04	25953	1.64	1.1E-03
Q	30%/30% abut loads	168.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.0	30.0	90.0	4.5	5.5	31.0	600.0	869.8	10.0	1.200	1.200	6.09	3653	А		1947.6		9.33	34.16	20499	3.66	2.7E-16
R	No abut load	169.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	22.0	25.5	90.0	6.0	5.5	35.4	561.0	868.0	11.0	1.074	1.074	6.54	3667						37.55	21064	5.74	2.5E-29
S	70%/30% abut loads	162.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.5	39.0	74.0	5.5	5.5	31.6	760.5	1112.5	9.8	1.316	1.316	5.92	4506	А		3811.4		10.94	34.47	26211	3.15	4.0E-13
т	70%/30% abut loads	162.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	15.5	39.0	74.0	5.5	5.5	35.3	604.5	934.5	7.8	1.415	1.415	6.26	3785	А		2606.3		10.57	26.38	15945	2.49	5.1E-09
U	30% abut load	165.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	13.0	70.0	90.0	5.5	5.5	34.8	910.0	1396.8	6.5	1.687	1.687	6.33	5762	А		3351.6		10.01	24.12	21950	2.41	1.8E-08
v	No abut load	168.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	22.0	31.0	90.0	5.0	6.0	31.7	682.0	999.0	11.0	1.170	1.170	6.15	4196						39.23	26752	6.38	2.8E-33
												Total	11610.3	16461.3												1		
																										1		

Table C1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel C - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered



Panel Extraction Ratio

0.29



() Douglas Partners

Summary		FoS
	Max	20.54
	Min	0.66
	Mean	4.02
Donal Factor	of actaty Pa	and an Tributa

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	123787.88	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	918663.49	MN

Panel FoS

	.aw																								one from PT	Billor dimons		Analysis Assumption	
Image Image <th< th=""><th>5.0</th><th>Power Law</th><th></th><th>Pillar</th><th>Pillar</th><th>Load</th><th>Shed</th><th></th><th>llar</th><th>Pilla</th><th>Pillar</th><th>lifier</th><th>Width M</th><th>Width/</th><th>Total</th><th>Pillar</th><th>Extract</th><th>Details</th><th>Roadway</th><th></th><th>)etails</th><th>Pillar (</th><th>Unit</th><th>Pillar Height</th><th>Working</th><th>Seam</th><th>Denth</th><th>Comment</th><th>llar</th></th<>	5.0	Power Law		Pillar	Pillar	Load	Shed		llar	Pilla	Pillar	lifier	Width M	Width/	Total	Pillar	Extract	Details	Roadway)etails	Pillar (Unit	Pillar Height	Working	Seam	Denth	Comment	llar
No. 0 No. 0 <th< th=""><th>FOS</th><th>"Ultimate" Fo Load MN</th><th>Strength (MPa)</th><th>Stress ("Abut") (MPa)</th><th>Stress ("Yield") (MPa)</th><th>Received MN</th><th>Load MN</th><th>Abut (A) Yield (Y) (?)</th><th>ad utary) IN</th><th>Load (Tributa MN</th><th>Stress (Tributary) (MPa)</th><th>Θ</th><th>θ₀</th><th>Height Ratio Wp/H</th><th>Area m³</th><th>Area m³</th><th>Ratio</th><th>Lr (m)</th><th>Wr (m)</th><th>Internal Angle (°)</th><th>Length Lp (m)</th><th>Width Wp (m)</th><th>Weigth γ (kN/m³)</th><th>Section H (m)</th><th>Section H (m)</th><th>Thickness (m)</th><th>D (m)</th><th></th><th>d:</th></th<>	FOS	"Ultimate" Fo Load MN	Strength (MPa)	Stress ("Abut") (MPa)	Stress ("Yield") (MPa)	Received MN	Load MN	Abut (A) Yield (Y) (?)	ad utary) IN	Load (Tributa MN	Stress (Tributary) (MPa)	Θ	θ₀	Height Ratio Wp/H	Area m ³	Area m ³	Ratio	Lr (m)	Wr (m)	Internal Angle (°)	Length Lp (m)	Width Wp (m)	Weigth γ (kN/m ³)	Section H (m)	Section H (m)	Thickness (m)	D (m)		d:
No. 0 No. 0 <th< td=""><td>1.61</td><td>9531 <u>1.</u> 9154 °</td><td>27.56</td><td>17.14</td><td></td><td>3805.3</td><td></td><td>A</td><td>22</td><td>2122</td><td>6.14</td><td>1.016</td><td>.016</td><td>9.2</td><td>597.8 583.2</td><td>345.9</td><td>42.1 42.6</td><td>5.6</td><td>6.1</td><td>90.0</td><td>18.9</td><td>18.3</td><td>25</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>142.0</td><td>Panel D Panel D</td><td></td></th<>	1.61	9531 <u>1.</u> 9154 °	27.56	17.14		3805.3		A	22	2122	6.14	1.016	.016	9.2	597.8 583.2	345.9	42.1 42.6	5.6	6.1	90.0	18.9	18.3	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D Panel D	
	4.46	9342 4.	27.45	0.13		071.5		î	96	2096	6.16	1.008	.008	9.2	590.5	340.4	42.0	5.6	6.1	90.0	18.6	18.3	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D Panel D	
	4.57	10223 4.	28.62						37	223	6.26	1.000	.000	9.5	630.0	357.2	43.3	6.1	6.3	90.0	18.9	18.9	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D	
	3.60	7011 3.	23.41						49	1949	6.51	1.103	.103	7.8	553.0	299.5	45.8	6.4	6.0	90.0	19.2	15.6	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	141.0	Panel D	
Very were ver	2.70 2.17	4465 2. 2747 2.	18.85 16.69						53 169	1653	6.98 7.71	1.257 1.155	.283 .235	5.8 5.1	465.5 357.4	236.9 164.6	49.1 53.9	6.0 5.9	6.0 6.0	90.0 90.0	20.6 16.3	11.5 10.1	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0 142.0	Panel D Panel D	
	2.20	2131 1. 3130 2.	16.18 16.91						26 26	1076	8.17 7.70	1.093 1.190	.147 .308	5.0 5.0	305.3 407.3	131.7 185.1	56.9 54.6	5.9 6.6	6.0 6.2	90.0 90.0	13.3 18.7	9.9 9.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	141.0 140.0	Panel D Panel D	0 1
NoteNot	4.96 5.07	12968 4. 13623 5.	29.51 29.65						13 88	2613 2688	5.95 5.85	1.094 1.121	.094 .121	9.4 9.3	736.1 762.6	439.5 459.4	40.3 39.8	6.3 6.3	6.0 6.0	74.0 74.0	23.5 24.7	18.7 18.6	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	142.0 141.0	Panel D Panel D	2 3
abbb	5.50 5.29	15335 5. 15943 5.	31.32 30.56						87 16	2787 3016	5.69 5.78	1.141 1.208	.141 .208	9.6 9.3	790.7 849.7	489.6 521.7	38.1 38.6	6.0 6.2	5.9 6.2	90.0 90.0	25.5 28.2	19.2 18.5	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	141.0 142.0	Panel D Panel D	4 5
No	1.96 4.64	2584 1. 10187 4.	15.86 28.37						21 94	1321 2194	8.11 6.11	1.156 1.013	.336 .013	4.5 9.4	374.9 622.4	162.9 359.0	56.5 42.3	6.4 6.0	6.3 6.0	90.0 90.0	18.1 19.2	9.0 18.7	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	141.0 141.0	Panel D ting section unless roo	6 7
NorwNor	5.63	18652 5. 1808 1.	31.80 13.64						10 73	3310	5.64 8.86	1.248 1.075	.248 .438	9.4 3.6	932.4 330.5	586.6 132.5	37.1 59.9	5.8 5.9	6.4 6.4	90.0 90.0	31.2 18.4	18.8 7.2	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	142.0 142.0	Panel D Panel D	8
Nor	2.55 3.33	9453 2. 9405 3.	27.62 27.48	10.85 8.25		1573.3 674.3		A	40 48	2140	6.25 6.28	1.005	.005	9.2 9.2	602.7 605.2	342.2 342.2	43.2 43.5	6.0 6.0	6.1 6.2	90.0 90.0	18.6 18.7	18.4 18.3	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	142.0 142.0	Panel D Panel D	20
	4.43	9708 4.	27.77			07 1.0			91	2191	6.27	1.016	.016	9.2	617.3	349.6	43.4	6.3	6.0	90.0	19.0	18.4	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D Ranal D	2
Terrer <p< td=""><td>4.42</td><td>9853 4.</td><td>27.74</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>27</td><td>2227</td><td>6.27</td><td>0.999</td><td>.999</td><td>9.3</td><td>627.4</td><td>355.2</td><td>43.4</td><td>6.1</td><td>6.3</td><td>74.0</td><td>19.2</td><td>18.5</td><td>25</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>142.0</td><td>Panel D Ranal D</td><td>24</td></p<>	4.42	9853 4.	27.74						27	2227	6.27	0.999	.999	9.3	627.4	355.2	43.4	6.1	6.3	74.0	19.2	18.5	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D Ranal D	24
Terry Control (1) Terry Control (2) Terry Control (2)<	4.52	10038 4.	28.40						19	2219	6.28	1.000	.000	9.4	625.0	353.4	43.4	6.1	6.3	90.0	18.8	18.8	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D Ranal D	26
Terry 100 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	2.59	9708 2.	27.77	10.74		1582.5		A	72	2172	6.21	1.016	.429	9.2	607.5	349.6	42.5	6.0	6.3 5.9	90.0	19.0	18.4	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	142.0	Panel D	28
1 0	3.58 4.58	10549 3. 10359 4.	28.92 28.70	8.08		695.0		A	52 61	2252	6.17 6.26	1.005	.005	9.5 9.5	630.0 632.5	364.8 361.0	42.1 42.9	6.1 6.0	5.9 6.3	90.0 90.0	19.2 19.1	19.0 18.9	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	143.0 143.0	Panel D Panel D	29 80
Tere were were were were were were were	3.61 4.45	7315 3. 9872 4.	24.04 28.08						28 17	2028	6.66 6.31	1.080	.080 .008	8.1 9.3	567.3 620.0	304.3 351.5	46.4 43.3	6.2 6.1	6.5 6.2	90.0 90.0	18.9 18.9	16.1 18.6	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0	2.0	143.0 143.0	Panel D Panel D	81 82
Tere were were were were were were were	4.25 4.62	9186 4. 10532 4.	27.14 29.02						63 79	2163	6.39 6.28	1.016 0.997	.016 .997	9.1 9.6	605.2 637.6	338.5 362.9	44.1 43.1	6.0 6.2	6.4 6.2	90.0 90.0	18.7 19.0	18.1 19.1	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	143.0 143.0	Panel D Panel D	13 14
Terry 0. 100 <p< td=""><td>4.29 4.35</td><td>9596 4. 10078 4.</td><td>27.59 27.43</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>38 19</td><td>2238</td><td>6.44 6.31</td><td>0.988 1.038</td><td>.988 .038</td><td>9.3 9.1</td><td>617.5 644.2</td><td>347.8 367.4</td><td>43.7 43.0</td><td>6.2 6.1</td><td>6.2 6.3</td><td>74.0 74.0</td><td>18.8 20.3</td><td>18.5 18.1</td><td>25 25</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>145.0 144.0</td><td>Panel D Panel D</td><td>5 6</td></p<>	4.29 4.35	9596 4. 10078 4.	27.59 27.43						38 19	2238	6.44 6.31	0.988 1.038	.988 .038	9.3 9.1	617.5 644.2	347.8 367.4	43.7 43.0	6.2 6.1	6.2 6.3	74.0 74.0	18.8 20.3	18.5 18.1	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 144.0	Panel D Panel D	5 6
Ter 0 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	4.21 2.49	9233 4. 9531 2.	27.27 27.56	11.07		1633.8		A	94 94	2194 2194	6.48 6.34	1.011 1.016	.011 .016	9.1 9.2	605.2 605.1	338.5 345.9	44.1 42.8	6.1 5.9	6.3 6.1	90.0 90.0	18.6 18.9	18.2 18.3	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 145.0	Panel D Panel D	7 8
m m	3.40 4.38	9938 3. 9872 4.	28.12 28.08	8.28		705.9		A	20 56	2220 2256	6.28 6.42	1.011 1.008	.011 .008	9.3 9.3	612.5 622.4	353.4 351.5	42.3 43.5	5.9 6.4	6.0 6.0	90.0 90.0	19.0 18.9	18.6 18.6	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 145.0	Panel D Panel D	89 10
n n	3.58 4.25	7154 3. 9171 4.	24.12 27.24						99 58	1999 2158	6.74 6.41	1.055 1.008	.055 .008	8.2 9.1	551.4 595.4	296.7 336.7	46.2 43.4	6.2 6.0	6.3 6.1	90.0 90.0	18.2 18.5	16.3 18.2	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 145.0	Panel D Panel D	11 12
m end m	4.41 4.46	9822 4. 10069 4.	27.94 28.19						29 56	2229	6.34 6.32	1.013 1.016	.013 .016	9.3 9.3	615.0 622.4	351.5 357.1	42.8 42.6	6.0 6.0	6.1 6.1	90.0 90.0	19.0 19.2	18.5 18.6	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 145.0	Panel D Panel D	43 44
2 Mach Ma	4.46 4.34	10069 4. 9643 4.	28.19 27.72						56 21	2256	6.32 6.38	1.016 0.983	.016	9.3 9.3	622.4 612.6	357.1 347.8	42.6 43.2	6.0 6.0	6.1 6.2	90.0 74.0	19.2 18.7	18.6 18.6	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 145.0	Panel D Panel D	15 16
n n	4.47 4.26	10763 4. 9499 4.	28.12 27.76						09 29	2409	6.29 6.51	1.042	.042	9.2 9.3	664.4 615.0	382.7 342.3	42.4 44.4	6.1 6.2	6.3 6.4	74.0 90.0	20.8 18.5	18.4 18.5	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	145.0 145.0	Panel D Panel D	17 18
n n	2.09	7481 2	24.51 24.54	11.70 11.72		1541.0 1541.0		A	I30 I55	2030	6.65 6.70	1.057	.057	8.3 8.3	556.2 563.0	305.3 306.9	45.1 45.5	6.0 6.2	6.2 6.2	90.0 90.0	18.5 18.6	16.5 16.5	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	146.0 146.0	Panel D Panel D	19 50
m etc i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	2.74	7242 2.	24.26	8.84		651.7		A	87	1987	6.66	1.052	.052	8.2	544.3 571.8	298.5	45.2	6.1	6.0	90.0	18.2	16.4	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	146.0	Panel D Panel D	51
n n	3.81	7820 3.	24.91						54 63	2054	6.54	1.059	.059	8.4	562.7	314.0	44.2	6.1	5.9	90.0	18.8	16.7	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	146.0	Panel D Panel D	53 54
new 0 new 0 <th< td=""><td>3.79</td><td>7665 3.</td><td>24.81</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td>2020</td><td>6.54</td><td>1.051</td><td>.020</td><td>8.4</td><td>553.5</td><td>309.0</td><td>44.2</td><td>6.1</td><td>5.8</td><td>90.0</td><td>18.5</td><td>16.7</td><td>25</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>146.0</td><td>Panel D Ranal D</td><td>55</td></th<>	3.79	7665 3.	24.81						20	2020	6.54	1.051	.020	8.4	553.5	309.0	44.2	6.1	5.8	90.0	18.5	16.7	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	146.0	Panel D Ranal D	55
method	3.67	7450 3.	24.39						129	2029	6.64	1.032	.032	8.3	556.0	305.4	44.7	6.2	6.0	74.0	18.4	16.6	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	146.0	Panel D	57
new b new b <th< td=""><td>4.17</td><td>9179 4.</td><td>26.61</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>04</td><td>200</td><td>6.39</td><td>1.023</td><td>.023</td><td>8.8</td><td>603.7</td><td>345.0</td><td>44.5</td><td>6.2</td><td>5.8</td><td>90.0</td><td>19.6</td><td>17.6</td><td>25</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>146.0</td><td>Panel D</td><td>59</td></th<>	4.17	9179 4.	26.61						04	200	6.39	1.023	.023	8.8	603.7	345.0	44.5	6.2	5.8	90.0	19.6	17.6	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	146.0	Panel D	59
new new <td>4.19 3.12</td> <td>9031 4.</td> <td>26.84</td> <td>8.59</td> <td></td> <td>708.5</td> <td></td> <td>A</td> <td>54 83</td> <td>2154</td> <td>6.40</td> <td>1.025</td> <td>.025</td> <td>9.0</td> <td>590.1 590.1</td> <td>336.5</td> <td>43.0 43.0</td> <td>6.1</td> <td>5.8</td> <td>90.0</td> <td>18.8</td> <td>17.9</td> <td>25</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>146.0</td> <td>Panel D Panel D</td> <td>50 51</td>	4.19 3.12	9031 4.	26.84	8.59		708.5		A	54 83	2154	6.40	1.025	.025	9.0	590.1 590.1	336.5	43.0 43.0	6.1	5.8	90.0	18.8	17.9	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	146.0	Panel D Panel D	50 51
memb 440 20	3.12 4.14	9031 3. 9031 4.	26.84 26.84	8.59		708.5		A	83 83	2183	6.49 6.49	1.025	.025 .025	9.0 9.0	590.1 590.1	336.5 336.5	43.0 43.0	6.1 6.1	5.8 5.8	90.0 90.0	18.8 18.8	17.9 17.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0	2.0	148.0 148.0	Panel D Panel D	52 53
new 0 1480 20 <t< td=""><td>4.14 4.14</td><td>9031 4. 9031 4.</td><td>26.84 26.84</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>83 83</td><td>2183</td><td>6.49 6.49</td><td>1.025</td><td>.025 .025</td><td>9.0 9.0</td><td>590.1 590.1</td><td>336.5 336.5</td><td>43.0 43.0</td><td>6.1 6.1</td><td>5.8 5.8</td><td>90.0 90.0</td><td>18.8 18.8</td><td>17.9 17.9</td><td>25 25</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>148.0 148.0</td><td>Panel D Panel D</td><td>54 55</td></t<>	4.14 4.14	9031 4. 9031 4.	26.84 26.84						83 83	2183	6.49 6.49	1.025	.025 .025	9.0 9.0	590.1 590.1	336.5 336.5	43.0 43.0	6.1 6.1	5.8 5.8	90.0 90.0	18.8 18.8	17.9 17.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0	2.0	148.0 148.0	Panel D Panel D	54 55
new D 1460 2.0<	4.14 4.10	9031 4. 8942 4.	26.84 26.57						83 83	2183 2183	6.49 6.49	1.025 1.005	.025 .005	9.0 9.0	590.1 590.1	336.5 336.5	43.0 43.0	6.1 6.1	5.8 5.8	90.0 74.0	18.8 18.8	17.9 17.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	148.0 148.0	Panel D Panel D	96 57
0 Pend D 140 20	4.10 4.14	8942 4. 9031 4.	26.57 26.84						83 83	2183 2183	6.49 6.49	1.005 1.025	.005 .025	9.0 9.0	590.1 590.1	336.5 336.5	43.0 43.0	6.1 6.1	5.8 5.8	74.0 90.0	18.8 18.8	17.9 17.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	148.0 148.0	Panel D Panel D	58 59
2 Pare D 1480 20 20 25 179 180 900 55 901 900 1025 1025 649 216 949 54 9301 3 Pare D 150 20 20 20 20 25 169 150 200 150 200 25 160 177 130 200 150 200 20 200 25 848 9301 335 9501 45 1300 160 160 177 1307 1400 140	4.14 4.14	9031 4. 9031 4.	26.84 26.84						83 83	2183 2183	6.49 6.49	1.025 1.025	.025 .025	9.0 9.0	590.1 590.1	336.5 336.5	43.0 43.0	6.1 6.1	5.8 5.8	90.0 90.0	18.8 18.8	17.9 17.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	148.0 148.0	Panel D Panel D	'0 '1
number 1510 2.0 2.0 2.5 15.0 2.0 1.00	4.14 3.69	9031 4. 7380 3.	26.84 24.81						83 199	2183 1999	6.49 6.72	1.025 1.020	.025 .020	9.0 8.5	590.1 540.4	336.5 297.4	43.0 45.0	6.1 6.1	5.8 5.9	90.0 90.0	18.8 17.6	17.9 16.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	148.0 148.0	Panel D Panel D	'2 '3
b Paret D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.3 2.6 9.00 6.0 6.2 2.0 2.5 1.10 7.72 1933 Paret D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 6.5 3.00 6.0 6.1 6.5 2.50 5.52 4.3 1.58 1.20 7.78 1984 Paret D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.5 6.5 3.0 6.0 6.1 6.51 2.55 4.53 1.58 1.20 7.78 1984 Paret D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.5 2.0 7.6 6.4 510 2.0 4.4 1.510 1.00 7.70 1.937 1.937 1.933 1.9	5.02 2.16	17102 5. 4205 2.	30.92 16.39						03 50	3403 1950	6.15 7.60	1.236 1.233	.236 .520	9.3 4.5	901.6 516.5	553.2 256.5	38.6 50.3	6.6 6.4	6.2 5.8	90.0 90.0	29.9 28.5	18.5 9.0	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	151.0 151.0	Panel D Panel D	'4 '5
nembed field 2.0 <th2.0< td=""><td>1.96 2.06</td><td>3790 13 4038 2</td><td>15.43 15.73</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>33 57</td><td>1933 1957</td><td>7.87 7.62</td><td>1.186 1.204</td><td>.562 .561</td><td>4.2 4.3</td><td>511.9 518.3</td><td>245.7 256.7</td><td>52.0 50.5</td><td>6.2 6.3</td><td>6.0 5.7</td><td>90.0 90.0</td><td>29.6 30.2</td><td>8.3 8.5</td><td>25 25</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>2.0 2.0</td><td>151.0 151.0</td><td>Panel D Panel D</td><td>'6 '7</td></th2.0<>	1.96 2.06	3790 13 4038 2	15.43 15.73						33 57	1933 1957	7.87 7.62	1.186 1.204	.562 .561	4.2 4.3	511.9 518.3	245.7 256.7	52.0 50.5	6.2 6.3	6.0 5.7	90.0 90.0	29.6 30.2	8.3 8.5	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	151.0 151.0	Panel D Panel D	'6 '7
0 0 0 20	2.02 2.07	4010 2.	15.72 15.95						84 37	1984 1937	7.78 7.70	1.203 1.208	.558 .523	4.3 4.4	525.6 513.0	255.0 251.4	51.5 51.0	6.0 6.0	6.1 6.0	90.0 74.0	30.0 28.9	8.5 8.7	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	151.0 151.0	Panel D Panel D	'8 '9
2 Pare D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.5 2.1 900 6.0 6.4 519 247.4 514.8 4.3 1548 1200 7.86 1943 Image Image 1570 384 6 Pare D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.6 8.5 2.11 900 6.0 6.4 519 247.4 514.8 4.3 1548 1200 7.86 1943 A 451.3 9.88 15.70 384 5 Pare D 1510 2.0	1.97 2.00	3703 12 3884 2	15.38 15.70						76	1876	7.79 7.86	1.180	.541 .548	4.2 4.3	497.0 514.8	240.7 247.4	51.6 51.9	6.5 6.4	5.7 6.0	74.0 90.0	29.0 29.1	8.3 8.5	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	151.0 151.0	Panel D Panel D	30 31
a ParaD 150 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.5 2.1 900 6.0 6.4 519 2.7.4 51.8 4.3 158 1200 7.86 1933 A 451.3 9.8 15.70 384 5 Parad D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.5 2.1 900 6.0 6.4 519 2.7.4 514.8 4.3 1584 1200 7.86 1943 A 4.51.3 9.8 15.70 384 6 Parad D 1510 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.5 2.1 900 6.0 6.4 519 2.7.4 514.8 4.3 1.584 1200 7.86 1943 A 4.51.3 9.8 15.70 384 6.9 Parad D 1510 2.0 <	2.00	3884 2	15.70						43	1943	7.86	1.200	.548	4.3	514.8 514.8	247.4	51.9 51.9	6.4	6.0	90.0 90.0	29.1	8.5	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	151.0 151.0	Panel D Panel D	2
a Panel D 1510 2.0 <t< td=""><td>2.00</td><td>3884 2.</td><td>15.70</td><td>89.6</td><td></td><td>451 3</td><td></td><td>A</td><td>43 143</td><td>1943</td><td>7.86</td><td>1.200</td><td>.548</td><td>4.3 4.3</td><td>514.8 514.8</td><td>247.4</td><td>51.9 51.9</td><td>6.4 6.4</td><td>6.0 6.0</td><td>90.0 90.0</td><td>29.1 29.1</td><td>8.5 8.5</td><td>25 25</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>151.0</td><td>Panel D Panel D</td><td>54 15</td></t<>	2.00	3884 2.	15.70	89.6		451 3		A	43 143	1943	7.86	1.200	.548	4.3 4.3	514.8 514.8	247.4	51.9 51.9	6.4 6.4	6.0 6.0	90.0 90.0	29.1 29.1	8.5 8.5	25 25	2.0	2.0	2.0	151.0	Panel D Panel D	54 15
B Prine Lo Lo <thlo< th=""> <thlo< th=""> <thlo< th=""> <thl< td=""><td>1.62</td><td>3884 1.</td><td>15.70</td><td>9.68</td><td></td><td>451.3</td><td></td><td>А</td><td>43</td><td>1943</td><td>7.86</td><td>1.200</td><td>.548</td><td>4.3</td><td>514.8</td><td>247.4</td><td>51.9</td><td>6.4</td><td>6.0</td><td>90.0</td><td>29.1</td><td>8.5</td><td>25</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>151.0</td><td>Panel D Panel D</td><td>36</td></thl<></thlo<></thlo<></thlo<>	1.62	3884 1.	15.70	9.68		451.3		А	43	1943	7.86	1.200	.548	4.3	514.8	247.4	51.9	6.4	6.0	90.0	29.1	8.5	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	151.0	Panel D Panel D	36
c rate	2.00	3884 2.	15.70	7.00		0.0			43	1943	7.86	1.200	.548	4.3	514.8	247.4	51.9	6.4	6.0	90.0	29.1	8.5	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	151.0	Panel D Panel D	38
a prime b issue 2.0 <	4.70	21195 4. 21287 4.	30.13	7.68		878.6 890.2		A	63	3629	6.19	1.137	.137	10.6	948.8 951.5	592.9	38.2	6.5	6.4	74.0	27.8	21.1	25	2.0	2.0	2.0	153.0	Panel D	90 39
s prane U 1530 20 20 20 25 156 300 900 65 62 40.4 498.0 852 6.3 1288 6.42 3199 A 1722.1 988 27.31 1539 5 Pane D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.6 7.0 2.4 90.0 6.5 6.2 6.3 30.0 6.8 31.339 A 1722.6 99.6 27.74 1339 5 Pane D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.0 2.6 90.0 6.5 52.9 2.34.5 48.4 4.1 1.54 1.51 1.51 8.31 1906 A 1055.6 12.4 15.6 3.78 1.57 1.51	1.87	3807 1. 2802 1.	15.27 13.36	10.81		0.0 418.6		A	32 49	2032	8.15 8.81	1.178	.562	4.1 3.5	531.4 483.4	249.3 209.8	53.1 56.6	6.5 6.5	6.2 6.2	74.0 90.0	30.4 30.4	8.2 6.9	25 25	2.0	2.0	2.0	153.0 153.0	Panel D Panel D	91 92
b Panel D 1530 20 20 20 25 8.2 28.6 90.0 6.0 6.5 52.9 23.4.5 48.4 4.1 1.564 1.76 8.1.3 1906 A 1456.5 1.24 15.26 15.6 37.7 7 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.6 8.0 2.6 90.0 6.0 6.5 52.9 23.4.5 48.4 4.1 1.564 1.176 8.1.3 1906 A 447.3 10.71 11.497 34.25 7 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.0 2.66 90.0 6.3 6.4 54.0 23.6 51.4 4.00 1.574 1.163 8.32 1909 A 4147.3 10.71 11.497 34.25 90 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.0 2.96 63.4 54.0 2.86 51.4 4.0 1.574 1.163 8.22 1909 A 4156.5 12.83 1.554 1.55	2.76 2.79	13599 2. 13393 2.	27.31 27.74	9.88 9.96		1722.1 1729.6		A	99 79	3199 3079	6.42 6.38	1.288 1.251	.288 .251	8.3 8.5	836.2 805.0	498.0 482.8	40.4 40.0	6.2 6.3	6.5 6.2	90.0 90.0	30.0 28.4	16.6 17.0	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	153.0 153.0	Panel D Panel D	93 94
7 Panel D 1530 20 20 20 25 80 206 900 63 64 540 236.8 54.8 4.0 1.574 1.63 8.32 1999 A 1066.1 1.22 14.39 3549 8 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.0 206 900 6.3 6.4 540 236.8 54.4 4.0 1.574 1.63 8.32 1999 A 1666.1 1.22 14.39 3549 9 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.1 29.2 7.40 6.1 6.3 53.1 236.5 50.41 4.1 1.552 1.168 8.15 1928 A 1055.6 12.63 15.10 3.1 3.64 3.51 12.63 15.10 3.21 16.0 15.51 15.64 16.15 12.63 15.15 12.64 10.16 14.41 3477 14 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.9 9.00 6.1 6	1.21 1.47	3578 1. 3425 1.	15.26 14.97	12.64 10.17		1058.6 447.3		A A	06 80	1906 1880	8.13 8.22	1.176 1.160	.554 .563	4.1 4.0	498.4 491.4	234.5 228.8	52.9 53.4	6.5 6.5	6.0 6.0	90.0 90.0	28.6 28.6	8.2 8.0	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	153.0 153.0	Panel D Panel D	95 96
a) Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 8.1 2.92 7.40 6.1 6.3 5.1 2.86.5 0.04 4.1 1.52 1.166 8.15 1928 A 1058.6 12.83 15.10 3.37.2 0 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 7.9 2.92 7.40 6.1 6.3 5.31 2.86.5 0.04 4.41 1.52 1.166 8.15 1928 A 1058.6 12.83 15.10 3.72 1 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 7.9 2.92 7.40 6.1 6.3 5.31 2.36.5 5.17 497.0 447.0 1.551 1.51 8.24 1901 A 447.3 10.18 14.81 3417 12 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 7.0 17.1 90.0 6.1 6.1 6.5 17.7 20.1 35.1 14.14 1.561 1.168 8.24 101.8 4.41 31.7 <td>1.17 1.46</td> <td>3549 1. 3549 1.</td> <td>14.99 14.99</td> <td>12.82 10.24</td> <td></td> <td>1066.1 456.9</td> <td></td> <td>A A</td> <td>69 69</td> <td>1969 1969</td> <td>8.32 8.32</td> <td>1.163 1.163</td> <td>.574 .574</td> <td>4.0 4.0</td> <td>514.8 514.8</td> <td>236.8 236.8</td> <td>54.0 54.0</td> <td>6.4 6.4</td> <td>6.3 6.3</td> <td>90.0 90.0</td> <td>29.6 29.6</td> <td>8.0 8.0</td> <td>25 25</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>153.0 153.0</td> <td>Panel D Panel D</td> <td>97 98</td>	1.17 1.46	3549 1. 3549 1.	14.99 14.99	12.82 10.24		1066.1 456.9		A A	69 69	1969 1969	8.32 8.32	1.163 1.163	.574 .574	4.0 4.0	514.8 514.8	236.8 236.8	54.0 54.0	6.4 6.4	6.3 6.3	90.0 90.0	29.6 29.6	8.0 8.0	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	153.0 153.0	Panel D Panel D	97 98
11 Panel D 1530 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 9.0 28.9 90.0 6.2 6.5 51.7 20.1 53.1 4.5 1.525 1.235 7.91 2058 A 697.6 15.4 14.4 428 2 Panel D 153.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 7.0 17.1 90.0 6.1 6.1 60.6 119.7 303.9 3.5 1.419 1.060 9.71 1162 A 697.6 15.54 13.35 1588 </td <td>1.20 1.46</td> <td>3572 1. 3417 1</td> <td>15.10 14.81</td> <td>12.63 10.18</td> <td></td> <td>1058.6 447.3</td> <td></td> <td>A A</td> <td>28 01</td> <td>1928 1901</td> <td>8.15 8.24</td> <td>1.166 1.151</td> <td>.552 .561</td> <td>4.1 4.0</td> <td>504.1 497.0</td> <td>236.5 230.7</td> <td>53.1 53.6</td> <td>6.3 6.3</td> <td>6.1 6.1</td> <td>74.0 74.0</td> <td>29.2 29.2</td> <td>8.1 7.9</td> <td>25 25</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>153.0 153.0</td> <td>Panel D Panel D</td> <td>99 100</td>	1.20 1.46	3572 1. 3417 1	15.10 14.81	12.63 10.18		1058.6 447.3		A A	28 01	1928 1901	8.15 8.24	1.166 1.151	.552 .561	4.1 4.0	504.1 497.0	236.5 230.7	53.1 53.6	6.3 6.3	6.1 6.1	74.0 74.0	29.2 29.2	8.1 7.9	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	153.0 153.0	Panel D Panel D	99 100
ee-	2.07 0.86	4268 2. 1598 0	16.41 13.35	15.54		697.6		А	58 62	2058 1162	7.91 9.71	1.235 1.060	.525 .419	4.5 3.5	538.1 303.9	260.1 119.7	51.7 60.6	6.5 6.1	6.2 6.1	90.0 90.0	28.9 17.1	9.0 7.0	25 25	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.0	153.0 153.0	Panel D Panel D	01 02
es-															#REF!	#REF!	Total												
	FoS		Summary																										es:

Panel Extraction Ratio #REF!

FOS≤1 1<FOS≤1.6

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load 250120.20 MN Total Pilla Capacity 852169.56 MN

3.41

Panel FoS

Prilar stability analysis based on the methods of calvin, Heboelwithie, Salamon and Lin (1999) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RK-398.
 Relationship between Factor of Safety (FCS) and probability of coal pilar fallure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoS at above this are an extrapolation had as a for the fill for that for FoSs at 2.11 and less:
 Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yleiding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Evincein ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.
 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered

2/03/2018, 49710.03. A.001.Rev0.Pillar_stability TAC

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duo	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments P	ty Ltd												Geo	echnics · Environme	vl • Groundwater	
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial D	Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet. Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:	:	49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimen	sions from F	RT																							
Pilla	r Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	v Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pov	ver Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		р		н	н	ν	Wn	l n	Angle	Wr	١r				Ratio	Θ.	ด	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")	0	Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	۳³	۳³	Wn/H	0	Ŭ	(MPa)	(Induced)) MN	(2)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
		()	()	()	()	,	()	()	()	(111)	()	(70)	I		110/11		1	(1411 4)		(.)			(1411 0)	(ivii d)	(1111 a)			1
103	Panel F	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.0	47.5	90.0	6.2	6.2	34.3	850.3	120/ 2	9.0	1 /53	1 /53	5.86	1083	Δ		5520.7		12 35	32.07	27266	2.60	1 2E-09
103		154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.5	47.0	00.0	0.2	0.2	40.7	030.3	050.0	9.0	1.400	1.400	0.00	4303			7755 9		12.00	02.07	27200	2.00	T.2E-03
104	Panel E	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.5	21.2	90.0	0.2	0.0	43.7	371.0	058.9	8.8	1.096	1.096	0.84	2537	A		7755.0		27.74	26.93	9993	0.97	5.6E-01
105	Panel E	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	17.5	21.2	90.0	6.2	6.6	43.7	371.0	658.9	8.8	1.096	1.096	6.84	2537	A		7755.8		27.74	26.93	9993	0.97	5.6E-01
106	Panel E	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.9	29.5	90.0	6.2	6.4	36.6	616.6	972.9	10.5	1.171	1.171	6.08	3746	A		8868.5		20.46	36.12	22272	1.77	1.8E-04
107	Panel E	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.9	29.5	90.0	6.2	6.4	36.6	616.6	972.9	10.5	1.171	1.171	6.08	3746	А		8868.5		20.46	36.12	22272	1.77	1.8E-04
108	Panel E	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.2	29.6	90.0	6.2	6.1	35.8	627.5	978.2	10.6	1.165	1.165	6.00	3766	A		8966.7		20.29	36.87	23134	1.82	8.8E-05
109	Panel E	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.2	29.6	90.0	6.2	6.1	35.8	627.5	978.2	10.6	1.165	1.165	6.00	3766	А		8966.7		20.29	36.87	23134	1.82	8.8E-05
110	Panel E	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	30.2	90.0	6.1	6.2	35.7	634.2	986.4	10.5	1.180	1.180	6.03	3822	А		8926.1		20.10	36.54	23174	1.82	8.7E-05
111	Panel E	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	30.2	74.0	6.1	6.2	35.7	634.2	986.4	10.5	1.161	1.161	6.03	3822	А		8926.1		20.10	36.24	22981	1.80	1.1E-04
112	Panel E	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.8	30.3	74.0	6.1	5.5	34.6	630.2	963.0	10.4	1.167	1.167	5.92	3732	А		8860.2		19.98	35.79	22557	1.79	1.3E-04
113	Panel E	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.5	30.3	90.0	6.1	5.5	34.8	621.2	952.3	10.3	1.193	1.193	5.94	3690	А		8761.4		20.05	35.38	21978	1.77	1.9E-04
												Total	6600.2	10402.2														
												10101	0000.2	10102.2												1		1

Table E1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel E - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered



Panel Extraction Ratio

0.37



Project	No:
---------	-----

Summary

FoS Max 2.60 0.97 Min Mean

1.72

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	132322.01	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	228754.54	MN

Panel FoS

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Du	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	ty Ltd													eennes · ennronmei	t • Grounowater	
	Project:		Proposed C	ommercial D	Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimen	sions from R	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	ay Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width I	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pow	er Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal			Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		н	Н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ_0	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m ³	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
					_																							
114	Panel F	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	26.7	106.8	90.0	0.1	5.9	5.6	2851.6	3020.4	13.4	1.600	1.600	4.10	11704	А		18693.0		10.66	64.57	184138	6.06	2.8E-31
115	Panel F	160.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.4	20.9	90.0	5.6	6.0	39.0	426.4	699.4	10.2	1.012	1.012	6.56	2798	А		18720.0		50.47	32.29	13768	0.64	1.4E+00
116	Panel F	162.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.2	20.9	90.0	5.6	6.0	39.2	422.2	694.0	10.1	1.017	1.017	6.66	2811	А		18808.2		51.21	31.88	13460	0.62	1.5E+00
117	Panel F	163.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.1	20.9	90.0	5.6	6.0	39.2	420.1	691.3	10.1	1.020	1.020	6.71	2817	А		18851.0		51.58	31.68	13309	0.61	1.5E+00
118	Panel F	163.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.4	130.3	90.0	3.0	5.8	19.1	2136.9	2640.3	8.2	1.776	1.776	5.03	10759	А		14229.9		11.69	31.69	67728	2.71	2.3E-10
												Total	6257.1	7745.5														

Table F1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel F - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

- 2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less
- Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered (refer to text)







Summary		FoS
	Max	6.06
	Min	0.61
	Mean	2.13
Danal Eastar	of safety Ba	sed on Trib

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	120190.88	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	292402.34	MN

Panel FoS

	Mine Workings -		RT691 - Duo	dley Seam						Client:	SPG Inve	stments Pt	ty Ltd															
	Project:		Proposed Co	ommercial [Development					Date:	28/2/18																	
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Benne	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
	Analysis Assumptio	ns:	Pillar dimens	sions from F	RT																							
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details		Roadwa	ay Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar		Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar		Pov	ver Law	
ld:			Thickness	Section	Section	Weigth	Width	Length	Internal		ĺ	Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	"Ultimate"	FoS	Probability
		D		Н	н	γ	Wp	Lp	Angle	Wr	Lr				Ratio	Θ	Θ	(Tributary)	(Tributary)	Yield (Y)			("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		of Failure
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kN/m ³)	(m)	(m)	(°)	(m)	(m)	(%)	m³	m³	Wp/H			(MPa)	MN	(?)	MN	MN	(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)	MN		
119	Panel G	153.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.2	20.8	90.0	6.1	6.1	43.8	337.0	599.9	8.1	1.124	1.124	6.81	2295	А		15353.6		52.37	24.72	8331	0.47	2.0E+00
120	Panel G	153.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	31.2	90.0	6.2	5.7	34.7	655.2	1003.7	10.5	1.195	1.195	5.86	3839	А		18727.2		34.44	36.79	24104	1.07	3.6E-01
121	Panel G	154.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.9	30.0	90.0	6.4	6.2	36.6	627.0	988.3	10.5	1.179	1.179	6.07	3805	А		18918.9		36.24	36.25	22730	1.00	5.0E-01
122	Panel G	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.1	29.6	74.0	6.2	6.5	36.6	624.6	985.5	10.6	1.148	1.148	6.11	3819	А		19041.8		36.60	36.32	22682	0.99	5.2E-01
123	Panel G	156.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.2	29.3	74.0	6.2	6.2	36.1	621.2	972.7	10.6	1.141	1.141	6.11	3794	А		19234.8		37.07	36.47	22656	0.98	5.3E-01
124	Panel G	158.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.0	20.8	90.0	5.9	6.4	40.9	416.0	704.5	10.0	1.020	1.020	6.69	2783	А		18414.9		50.96	31.44	13080	0.62	1.5E+00
125	Panel G	160.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.0	21.1	90.0	6.0	6.2	40.5	422.0	709.8	10.0	1.027	1.027	6.73	2839	А		18720.0		51.09	31.55	13316	0.62	1.5E+00
126	Panel G	161.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.6	21.0	90.0	6.0	6.3	41.1	411.6	698.9	9.8	1.034	1.034	6.83	2813	А		18547.2		51.90	30.72	12645	0.59	1.6E+00
127	Panel G	162.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.7	21.3	90.0	3.0	6.1	34.1	355.7	539.8	8.4	1.121	1.121	6.15	2186	А		14361.3		46.52	25.64	9120	0.55	1.7E+00
128	Panel G	163.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.9	20.8	90.0	3.1	6.2	34.9	351.5	540.0	8.5	1.103	1.103	6.26	2201	А		14670.0		47.99	25.82	9077	0.54	1.8E+00
129	Panel G	152.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	15.9	21.1	90.0	6.2	6.2	44.4	335.5	603.3	8.0	1.141	1.141	6.83	2293	А		15116.4		51.89	24.35	8168	0.47	2.0E+00
130	Panel G	152.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.5	30.9	90.0	6.0	5.8	34.2	664.4	1009.3	10.8	1.179	1.179	5.77	3835	А		18810.0		34.09	37.94	25205	1.11	2.8E-01
131	Panel G	153.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	30.1	90.0	6.3	6.0	35.9	632.1	985.5	10.5	1.178	1.178	5.96	3770	А		18796.1		35.70	36.52	23082	1.02	4.5E-01
132	Panel G	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	29.8	74.0	6.2	6.4	36.4	625.8	984.6	10.5	1.154	1.154	6.10	3815	А		18972.0		36.41	36.13	22612	0.99	5.2E-01
133	Panel G	156.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	29.4	74.0	6.4	6.1	36.5	617.4	972.7	10.5	1.147	1.147	6.14	3794	А		19234.8		37.30	36.03	22243	0.97	5.7E-01
134	Panel G	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	20.1	90.0	6.3	5.7	40.1	422.1	704.3	10.5	0.978	0.978	6.55	2765	А		19287.5		52.24	33.21	14018	0.64	1.5E+00
135	king section unless ro	158.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	20.1	90.0	6.3	5.7	40.1	422.1	704.3	10.5	0.978	0.978	6.59	2782	А		19410.3		52.58	33.21	14018	0.63	1.5E+00
136	Panel G	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.0	20.1	90.0	6.3	5.7	40.1	422.1	704.3	10.5	0.978	0.978	6.63	2800	А		19533.2		52.91	33.21	14018	0.63	1.5E+00
137	Panel G	160.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	12.3	12.3	90.0	5.8	6.0	54.3	151.3	331.2	6.2	1.000	1.000	8.76	1325	А		13032.0		94.90	17.64	2668	0.19	3.2E+00
												Total	9114.4	14742.7														
																										1		

Table G1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel G - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less 3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered







Summary		FoS
	Max	1.11
	Min	0.19
	Mean	0.74

Panel Factor of safety Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	395731.90	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	303772.74	M٢

Panel FoS

Mine Workings -			RT691 - Duo	T691 - Dudley Seam					Client:	SPG Investments Pty Ltd									Geolachwics · Environment · Groundwater									
Project:			Proposed Commercial Development						Date:	28/2/18																		
	Location:		4B South St	reet, Bennet	tts Green					Sheet:	1														Project No:		49710.01	
I	Analysis Assumption	1S:	Pillar dimens	sions from F	RT	-	-			-						-		-	T	-		-	-		T			
Pillar	Comment	Depth	Seam	Working	Pillar Height	Unit	Pillar	Details	late we al	Roadwa	ay Details	Extract.	Pillar	Total	Width/	Width	Modifier	Pillar	Pillar	A h t (A)	Shed	Load	Pillar	Pillar	Otres a sette	Pov	/er Law	Dask skiller
10:		D	Inickness	Section	Section	vveigtn	width	Length	Internal	14/-		Ratio	Area	Area	Height			Stress	Load	Abut (A)	Load	Received	Stress	Stress	Strength	Utimate	F05	Probability
		D (m)	(m)	H (m)	H (m)	γ (kN/m ³)	vvp (m)	Lp (m)	Angle	vvr (m)	Lr (m)	(9/)	m ³	m ³	Ratio	Θ ₀	B	(Tributary)	(Tributary)		MNI	MAN	("Yield")	("Abut")		Load		or Failure
		(11)	(111)	(111)	(11)	(((()))))	(11)	(111)	()	(111)	(11)	(%)			тур/п			(IVIFa)	IVIIN	(?)	IVIIN	IVIIN	(IVIFa)	(IVIFa)	(ivira)	IVIIN		
138	Panel H	160.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	14.3	14.3	90.0	6.4	6.4	52.3	204.5	428.5	7.2	1.000	1.000	8.38	1714	A		14904.0		81.27	20.24	4138	0.25	2.9E+00
139	Panel H	153.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	21.1	21.1	90.0	5.9	5.7	38.5	445.2	723.6	10.6	1.000	1.000	6.22	2768	А		13942.1		37.53	33.84	15067	0.90	7.2E-01
140	Panel H	153.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.9	20.9	90.0	5.9	5.7	38.7	436.8	712.9	10.5	1.000	1.000	6.24	2727	А		13838.9		37.92	33.33	14561	0.88	7.8E-01
141	Panel H	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.9	20.9	90.0	5.9	5.7	38.7	436.8	712.9	10.5	1.000	1.000	6.32	2762	А		14019.8		38.42	33.33	14561	0.87	8.1E-01
142	Panel H	155.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.7	29.0	90.0	6.1	6.2	36.4	600.3	943.4	10.4	1.167	1.167	6.09	3656	А		14019.8		29.44	35.52	21325	1.21	1.2E-01
143	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.2	20.7	74.0	6.2	6.1	40.9	418.1	707.5	10.1	0.992	0.992	6.64	2777	А		13988.7		40.10	31.49	13166	0.79	1.0E+00
144	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.2	20.7	74.0	6.2	6.1	40.9	418.1	707.5	10.1	0.992	0.992	6.64	2777	А		13988.7		40.10	31.49	13166	0.79	1.0E+00
145	Panel H	158.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.6	20.7	90.0	5.8	6.4	41.1	405.7	688.3	9.8	1.027	1.027	6.70	2719	А		13544.6		40.09	30.61	12420	0.76	1.1E+00
146	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.8	20.9	90.0	6.1	6.2	43.4	351.1	620.6	8.4	1.109	1.109	7.03	2467	А		12288.7		42.02	25.69	9020	0.61	1.5E+00
147	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.6	38.9	90.0	5.7	3.2	31.2	645.7	938.8	8.3	1.402	1.402	5.78	3732	А		11966.7		24.31	28.52	18415	1.17	1.7E-01
148	Panel H	160.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	15.2	30.8	90.0	3.2	3.0	24.7	468.2	621.9	7.6	1.339	1.339	5.31	2488	А		9936.0		26.54	25.08	11742	0.95	6.2E-01
149	Panel H	160.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	13.9	15.4	90.0	6.0	6.0	49.7	214.1	425.9	7.0	1.051	1.051	7.96	1703	A		1910.4		16.88	20.17	4318	1.19	1.4E-01
150	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	13.0	19.5	90.0	5.8	6.2	47.5	253.5	483.2	6.5	1.200	1.200	7.58	1921	A		4184.9		24.08	20.28	5140	0.84	8.7E-01
151	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.2	26.7	90.0	3.1	6.2	31.9	432.5	635.0	8.1	1.245	1.245	5.84	2524	A		1841.2		10.09	26.04	11263	2.58	1.5E-09
152	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.2	26.7	90.0	6.1	6.2	41.0	432.5	733.7	8.1	1.245	1.245	6.74	2916	A		2127.4		11.66	26.04	11263	2.23	2.2E-07
153	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.2	26.7	90.0	6.2	6.2	41.3	432.5	737.0	8.1	1.245	1.245	6.77	2929	A		2137.0		11.71	26.04	11263	2.22	2.6E-07
154	king section unless ro	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.3	26.9	90.0	5.9	6.3	37.9	519.2	836.6	9.7	1.165	1.165	6.41	3326	A		2404.1		11.04	31.89	16558	2.89	1.7E-11
155	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.4	26.9	74.0	5.9	6.3	37.9	521.9	840.0	9.7	1.143	1.143	6.32	3297	A		6355.4		18.50	31.83	16611	1.72	3.5E-04
156	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.0	26.5	74.0	5.7	6.2	36.9	530.0	840.4	10.0	1.120	1.120	6.22	3299	A		6400.8		18.40	32.99	17484	1.79	1.3E-04
157	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	20	10.5	20.7	90.0	0.3	0.3	41.4	440.0 251.5	752.4 527.0	0.3	1.230	1.230	6.70	2903	A		5/2/.4		19.70	20.04	11093	1.30	2.7E-02
150		159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.2	21.3	90.0	5.1 6.2	6.4	34.0	301.5	612.9	0.3	1.127	1.127	0.07	2135	A 		4303.0		10.49	20.32	0099 9420	1.37	2.1E-02
160	Panel H	159.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.5	21.0	90.0	6.1	6.5	44.0	340.2	626.0	8.3	1.129	1.129	7.17	2440	Δ		4900.2		21.03	24.70	8848	1.14	2.4L-01
161	Panel H	158.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	20.0	21.2	90.0	6.0	6.2	40.6	420.0	707.2	10.0	1.123	1.123	6.65	2793	Δ		5751.2		20.34	31.52	13237	1.10	2.7E-03
162	Panel H	158.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.8	20.8	90.0	6.2	6.3	41.5	411.8	704.6	9.9	1 025	1 025	6.76	2783	A		5751.2		20.72	31.04	12785	1.50	4.9E-03
163	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	19.8	20.8	90.0	6.1	6.1	40.9	411.8	696.7	9.9	1.025	1.025	6.64	2735	A		5692.8		20.46	31.04	12785	1.52	3.9E-03
164	Panel H	157.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	25	16.2	21.1	90.0	6.3	6.0	43.9	341.8	609.8	8.1	1.131	1.131	7.00	2393	A		4945.5		21.47	24.80	8478	1.16	2.0E-01
												Total	11234.4	18585.0														

Table H1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, Panel H - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Pillar Height = Working Section = 2.0 m

Notes:

1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98.

2. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs of 2.11 and less

3. Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.

4. Extraction ratio is relative to working section not full seam height.

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered



Panel Extraction Ratio

0.40



PI	rO,	ject	NO:	

Summary		FoS
	Max	2.89
	Min	0.25
	Mean	1.31
Panel Factor o	f safety I	Based on Tributary load

Total Pillar Load	289275.83	MN
Total Pilla Capacity	326635.78	MN

Panel FoS

Douglas Partners

Total Pillar Load Total Pilla Capacity

Panel FoS

FOS≤1 <FOS≤1.6

331066.77 MN 2829990.44 MN

8.55

RT403 - VT Seam Proposed Commercial Development 4B South Street, Bennetts Green Client: SPG Inve Date: 28/2/18 Sheet: 1 Mine Workings Project: Location: Analysis Assur 49710.01 Width/ Height Ratio Wp/H Pillar Heig Section H (m) Total Area Pillar Stress ("Yield") (MPa) Power Law rength "Ultimate" FoS Pillar Details Width Length Norking Section H xtract. Ratio Wr (m) Θ₀ Θ ("Abut") (MPa) (Tributary) (Tributar (MPa) MN Wp (m) Angle (°) Load MN of Failure 3.03 3.03
 7.12
 6.292

 6.262
 6.262

 6.262
 7.352

 7.352
 7.355

 7.352
 7.352

 7.352
 7.353

 7.352
 7.353

 7.352
 7.353

 7.352
 7.353

 7.352
 7.353

 7.352
 7.353

 7.353
 7.353

 7.354
 3.303

 7.352
 7.354

 7.353
 7.354

 7.363
 7.352

 7.364
 3.303

 7.374
 8.333

 7.384
 3.303

 7.385
 7.365

 7.386
 7.372

 7.387
 7.331

 7.387
 7.311

 7.387
 7.311

 7.397
 7.311

 7.397
 7.311

 7.397
 7.311

 7.397
 7.311

 7.397
 7.311

 7.398
 7.321

 7.311
 7.324

 7.311</td 2208 19679 19679 19679 19679 1967 1967 1963 19643 19643 19643 29705 1953 19632 29705 19532 19532 19532 29506 29707 29705 14.55.18 15.518 15.518 15.722 17.202 12.205 12.2 2 44 2 45 4 436 4 436 4 436 4 436 4 436 4 436 4 436 4 436 4 436 2 45 3 4
 3.5.6.06

 1.5.6.07

 2.9.6.40

 1.3.6.7

 2.9.6.40

 1.3.6.7

 2.3.6.40

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 1.3.6.7

 3.7.6.8

 3.7.6.9

 3.7.6.9

 3.7.6.9

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 3.7.6.7

 VT Seam
 66
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6
 6

 49.1
 49.4

 43.6
 43.6

 43.6
 43.3

 43.6
 43.3

 45.2
 52.0

 52.2
 53.6

 52.5
 53.6

 52.6
 33.6

 49.7
 73.7

 52.2
 33.6

 30.7
 49.4

 49.7
 37.7

 31.6
 39.7

 31.7
 49.4

 30.7
 30.6

 31.7
 30.6

 31.7
 30.6

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7
 30.7

 31.7</t
 608.2
 6

 608.2
 6

 646.0
 677.1

 905.8
 551.3

 727.5
 9

 727.9
 9

 441.2
 8

 430.3
 872.2

 987.9
 994.6

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.3

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.2

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 994.4

 987.9
 997.4
 1.512

 1.338

 1.506

 1.511

 1.521

 1.531

 1.521

 1.532

 1.533

 1.522

 1.535

 1.322

 1.335

 1.322

 1.335

 1.323

 1.340

 1.335

 1.323

 1.342

 1.342

 1.342

 1.343

 1.342

 1.343

 1.344

 1.343

 1.435

 1.435

 1.435

 1.435

 1.437

 1.438

 1.437

 1.436

 1.437

 1.437

 1.437

 1.438

 1.437

 1.438

 1.437

 1.442

 1.433

 1.442

 1.443

 1.442

 1.443

 1.442</ 1.177 1.196 1.196 1.196 1.084 1.084 1.084 1.087 1.384 1.087 1.324 1.087 1.224 1.114 1.229 1.120 1.121 1.229 1.130 1.231 1.231 1.231 1.231 1.232 1.232 1.322 1.322 1.323 1.324 1.325 1. 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 21.1 22.7 24.4 22.6 26.7 20.5 26.7 21.4 22.4 20.5 26.7 20.5 26.7 21.4 20.5 26.7 20.5 26.7 20.5 26.7 20.5 26.7 20.5 26.7 20.5
 vit Seam

 <t 615.9 628.3 362.9 547.2 512.6 461.0 450.9 518.8 481.0 496.6 514.1 508.6 541.4 298.1 474.5 388.6 484.7 540.5 420.7 399.0 653.3 522.6 420.8 1822.4 537.6 431.9 667.3 280.2 390.8 528.4 398.2 444.0 487.2 585.8 240.6 446.2 513.4 1690.5 1690.5 481.3 439.4 506.8 385.5 474.0 363.1 476.5 471.7 528.0 402.4 339.8 330.7 12.0 11.8 9.6 9.9 11.8 14.8 572.3 842.8 842.8 878.1 1455.1 811.6 831.3 890.4 945.5 799.3 747.8 848.7 780.0 980.6 1026.1 2792.2 1033.5 2336.4 1073.6 FoS 50.08 1.16 4.13 Notes: Max Min Mear 1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98. Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interpolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure d1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs 10.21 and less
 Load on weaker pillars reduced by 30% as discussed in "Prefailure "Pillar Yielding", by Agapto and Goodrich (2002) Load transferred to adjacent pillars.
 Extraction ratio is relative to oviring section not full seam height. 0.36 Panel Extraction Ratio Panel Facto

5 Pillar Height should be the same as the working section unless roof collapse is being considered

2/03/2018 49710 03 A 001 Rev0 Pillar stability TAC

Table V1 - Pillar Stability Analysis, VT Seam - Measured Pillar Dimensions - Working Section 2.39 m, Pillar Height = 3.03 m

Douglas Partners

Client: SPG Inve Date: 28/2/18 Sheet: 1 RT403 - VT Seam Proposed Commercial Developm 4B South Street, Bennetts Green Mine Workings -Project: Location: tments Pty Ltd Project No: 49710.01 *Ultimate* FoS Load nalysis ns from RT
 Pillar Details

 Width
 Length

 Wp
 Lp

 '-'
 (m)
 Pillar Heig Section H Unit Weigth γ Extract. Ratio Total Area Width/ Height Ratio Pillar Load but (A) Shed Norking Section H Θ, Θ Wr of Failure Wp/H 609.2 546.0 677.1 510.1 905.8 1.042 1.066 1.100 1.056 1.162 1.000
 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 2.39

 46.3

 46.4

 43.6

 46.4

 43.6

 44.3

 52.0

 52.2

 40.1

 52.2

 40.1

 52.2

 40.1

 52.2

 40.1

 52.2

 40.1

 52.2

 40.1

 52.3

 52.3

 36.4

 43.7

 37.9

 39.4

 39.7

 39.8

 37.2

 39.3

 37.4

 39.3

 36.4

 37.7

 37.9

 38.8

 37.6

 38.8

 37.6

 37.6

 37.6

 37.6

 38.2

 40.0

 36.5

 38.3

 36.4

 45.2

 46.3

 46.5

 30.0
 6.6 7.2 2208 | 19479 | 19479 | 19479 | 19479 | 19473 | 19433 | 19433 | 19433 | 19433 | 19433 | 19433 | 19434 | 19433 | 19434 | 19433 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 19434 | 2.1E-03 2.8E-04 1.5E-05 1.7E-06 1.7E-06 2.0E-02 1.5E-07 1.1E-05 2.9E-04 4.8E-10 1.5E-02 4.4E-03 6.0E-01 VT Seam 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 3.03
 <li
 1.512

 1.538

 1.508

 1.538

 1.501

 1.571

 1.581

 1.571

 1.581

 1.571

 1.581

 1.333

 1.665

 1.139

 1.666

 1.732

 1.467

 1.468

 1.463

 1.464

 1.403

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.439

 1.430

 1.431

 1.432

 1.431

 1.432

 1.432

 1.431

 1.432

 1.432

 1.432

 1.432

 1.432

 1.432

 1.432

 1.432</ 7.12 6.75 6.26 6.26 6.26 6.26 6.26 6.26 7.35 7.35 7.35 7.35 7.34 7.35 7.34 7.34 7.35 7.34 7.35 7.34 7.35 7.35 7.34 7.35 7 11.20 11.27 12.20 13.86 13.10 13.87 13.10 13.87 13.08 13.27 13.85 12.71 12.55 11.03 12.55 11.03 12.55 13.27 15.05 13.27 15.05 13.27 15.05 13.27 15.05 13.27 15.28 14.22 14.22 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.27 15.26 15.27 15.27 15.27 15.27 15.26 15.27 15 1.57 1.74 1.94 2.09 2.09 1.37 2.26 1.96 1.73 2.66 1.40 1.51 0.95
 31.1

 34.1

 34.2

 34.3

 34.6

 31.1

 31.1

 31.1

 31.1

 31.1

 31.1

 31.5

 16.6

 22.7

 31.4

 33.5

 34.6

 33.6

 33.6

 34.6

 33.6

 33.6

 33.6

 34.6

 33.6

 33.6

 34.6

 33.6

 34.6

 33.6

 34.6

 33.6

 34.7.8

 37.4

 36.7

 26.8

 37.6

 27.8

 38.7

 38.8

 36.7

 36.7

 36.7

 36.7

 36.7

 36.8

 36.7

 36.8

 36.7

 36.8
 551.3 772.9 441.2 858.0 387.2 449.8 430.9 984.2 987.9 690.0 470.9 572.9 994.6 344.3 743.4 1.172
1.122
1.051
1.122
1.051
1.225
1.021
1.015
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020
1.020 12.7 16.1 9.9 9.5 5.5 22.9 21.5 14.3 14.8 16.3 8.8 15.7 37.8 14.6 17.8 14.6 17.8 14.6 17.8 14.7 10.8 14.4 4.40, 4.40, 2.80, 2.80, 1.30, 9.63, 2.71, 2.64, 2.41, 2.42, 2.41, 2.42, 2.44, 2.42, 2.44, 2.42, 2.44, 2.42, 2.44, 2. 6.28-21, 98-16, 98-29, 98-20, 732.8 655.8 381.8 238.3 287.9 619.4 146.1 450.6
 Auto 1 Saam

 VT Seam

 2423.0 1220.1 961.6 600.6 871.2 825.2 760.8 746.2 844.1 792.4 814.7 830.9 868.0 526.1 757.8 658.5 827.5 855.9 658.5 835.9 639.2 1007.3 837.3 697.5 508.6 541.4 298.1 474.5 388.6 484.7 540.5 420.7 399.0 2718.5 903.4 773.3 903.4 773.3 905.4 850.0 854.9 869.0 854.9 869.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 867.2 869.1 776.5 869.1 776.5 869.5 875.5 875.5 865.8 875.5 875.2 865.8 865.8 875.4 865.8 865.8 877.4 865.8 865.8 877.4 865.8 865.8 877.4 865.8 865.8 877.4 865.8 865.8 877.4 865.8 877.4 865.8 877.4 667.3 280.2 390.8 528.4 398.2 444.0 487.2 585.8 240.6 446.2 513.4 945.5 799.3 747.8 848.7 780.0 980.6 1026.1 2792.2 1033.5 2336.4 1073.6 1.253 1.526 1.337 645.8 FoS 9.68 0.95 2.74 Summary Notes: Max Min Mear 1. Pillar stability analysis based on the methods of Galvin, Hebbelwhite, Salamon and Lin (1998) UNSW Mining Research Centre Report RR3/98

Panel Extraction Ratio 0.39

FOS≤1 <FOS≤1.6

Panel Factor Total Pillar Load Total Pilla Capacity

Panel FoS

300667.10 MN 938203.62 MN

3.12

Relationship between Factor of Safety (FoS) and probability of coal pillar failure is based on interprolation and extrapolation of data in the above publication. It should be noted that the probability of failure does not extend beyond a FoS of 2.11 (equivalent to a probability of failure of 1 in 1,000,000) in the above and therefore probabilities of failure for FoSs above this are an extrapolation based on a curve of best fit for data for FoSs 1.21 than (best of 2.11 and loss 0.21 than (best of 2.11 than (best of 2.

2/03/2018 49710 03 A 001 Rev0 Pillar stability TAC

Appendix C

client)

Drawing 1 – Site Position Relative to Abandoned Workings, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Dudley Seam (RT 691) Drawing 2 – Site Position Relative to Abandoned Workings, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Victoria Tunnel Seam (RT 403) Drawing 3 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Working Section 1.7 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Dúdley Seam Workings Drawing 4 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Working Section 2.0 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, Dudley Seam Workings Drawing 5 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Pillar Height Section = 2.39 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, VT Seam Workings Drawing 6 – Calculated Pillar Factors of Safety – Pillar Height Section = 3.03 m, Lambton 'B' Colliery, VT Seam Workings Overall Site Plan, Drawing No. ATP-200 (supplied by



LEGEND Lot bounda	aries - digital cad	astre	
Pillars for A	Analysis - VI Sea	am	
Proposed	Lot 1		
Proposed	Lots 2 and 3		
Approxima	ate Angle of Draw	- VT Seam	
Approximation	ate Bore Location Safety above (102) .1 (5) .6 (1) (0)	s (as shown on RT)	
			400
Scale:	etres		
39 m	1.4,000	PROJECT No: 49	710.03
	$\left \left(\begin{array}{c} / \ \\ \mathbf{N} \end{array} \right) \right $	DRAWING No:	5
tts Green	MGA	REVISION:	0

LEGEND Proposed	aries - digital cad Analysis - VT Sea Lot 1 Lots 2 and 3 ate Angle of Draw ate Bore Location Safety above (90) .1 (12) .6 (5) (1)	astre an - VT Seam s (as shown on RT)	
1 to 1	.o (5)		
0 to 1	(1)		
			400
m	etres		-
Scale:	1:4,000		j
)3 m		PROJECT No: 49	9710.03
	$\left \left(\begin{array}{c} / \\ \mathbf{N} \end{array}\right)\right $	DRAWING No:	6
tts Green	MGA	REVISION:	0

BENNETTS GREEN

P08

DRAWING NUMBER

 (\mathcal{P})

FOR INFORMATION

൜഻ഀ

TOWN PLANNING